arXiv:1511.08359v1 [math.RT] 26 Nov 2015

TRANSFERENCE FOR BANACH SPACE REPRESENTATIONS OF NILPOTENT LIE GROUPS

INGRID BELTIŢĂ, DANIEL BELTIŢĂ, AND JOSÉ E. GALÉ

Abstract. We study multipliers and L^p-boundedness properties of operators obtained by the Pedersen transform for unitary irreducible representations of nilpotent Lie groups. The main results apply to all 1-dimensional central extensions of symplectic nilpotent Lie groups. We provide some infinite families of examples, in particular a continuous family of 3-step nilpotent Lie groups that are mutually non-isomorphic, for which our results are applicable. Our method relies upon an abstract approach involving the method of transference.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Banach space representations of nilpotent Lie groups 5
3. The Pedersen transform 9
4. Characterization of twisted convolution operators 12
5. Pedersen multipliers are L^p-bounded 16
6. Calderón-Zygmund theory with a twist 21
7. Some specific examples 27
References 31

1. Introduction

Our main purpose in this paper is to establish boundedness properties of operators obtained by Pedersen transforms [Pe94] with respect to unitary irreducible representations of nilpotent Lie groups. We will focus on nilpotent Lie groups with generically flat coadjoint orbits and the main aspect investigated here is when the operators obtained in this way extend to bounded operators on Banach spaces of type L^p for $1 \leq p < \infty$. Thus, the present paper contributes to a deeper understanding of the Weyl-Pedersen calculus, whose L^2-continuity properties of Calderón-Vaillancourt type were established in our recent papers [BB11], [BB12], and [BB15a].

Date: November 30, 2015.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47L80; Secondary 22E25, 22E27.

Key words and phrases. Banach space representation; Lie group; Weyl-Pedersen transform; multiplier; twisted convolution; Calderón-Zygmund theory; transference.

This research was partly supported by Project MTM2013-42105-P, fondos FEDER, Spain. The two first-named authors have also been supported by a Grant of the Romanian National Authority for Scientific Research, CNCS-UEFISCDI, project number PN-II-ID-PCE-2011-3-0131. The third-named author has also been supported by Project E-64, D.G. Aragón, Spain.

The nilpotent Lie groups G involved in our investigation can be alternatively described by 1-dimensional central extensions

$$0 \to \mathbb{R} \to G \to G_0 \to \mathbf{1}$$

where G_0 is any nilpotent Lie group endowed with a left invariant symplectic structure. The class of these symplectic nilpotent Lie groups G_0 is really huge. The simplest example is the abelian group $G_0 = (\mathbb{R}^{2n}, +)$ with the canonical symplectic structure and in this case G is the $(2n+1)$-dimensional Heisenberg group, which can be regarded as the classical example. But as we will recall below in Section 7 there exist many other examples, to which our results apply. For instance, there are uncountable families of symplectic 2-step nilpotent Lie groups G_0 that are mutually non-isomorphic. Their corresponding Lie groups G are 3-step nilpotent with 1-dimensional center, a class of Lie groups whose classification is still beyond reach. We have mentioned this point because one of the problems faced in the investigation on this level of generality is the lack of information on the structure constants, stratifications, or gradings of nilpotent Lie groups that are needed for the estimation techniques based on special functions and classical polynomials. This lack of information renders these techniques unusable, and therefore we will have to replace them by methods based on abstract harmonic analysis which are still available.

The problems we address below go back to the Weyl multipliers that were introduced in [Mau80] and were more recently studied in [BT15]; their definition can actually be obtained by specializing Definition 3.8 below for the Schrödinger representation of the Heisenberg group. These are multiplier operators defined with respect to the classical Weyl calculus of pseudo-differential operators, and the background for the construction of these multipliers is provided by the representation theory of the Heisenberg groups, which are precisely the 2-step nilpotent Lie groups with 1-dimensional center. On the other hand, a quite remarkable Weyl calculus for unitary irreducible representations of arbitrary nilpotent Lie groups was constructed in [Pe94], and therefore it is natural to consider the corresponding multiplier operators and their applications. In fact, we extend results of [Mau80] by replacing the symplectic real vector space $(\mathbb{C}^n, \operatorname{Im}(\cdot \mid \cdot))$ by a pair $(\mathfrak{g}_e, \gamma)$, where $\mathfrak{g}_e$ is a nilpotent Lie algebra and $\gamma\colon \mathfrak{g}_e \times \mathfrak{g}_e \to \mathbb{R}$ is a symplectic structure, that is, a nondegenerate 2-cocycle. The setting of [Mau80] is recovered when the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_e$ is abelian.

In order to give the motivation for the kind of problems that we deal with here, let us consider the following situation, which also reflects the underlying idea to proceed with: Let G be any connected Lie group and assume that $\pi\colon G \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ and $\tau\colon G \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y})$ are uniformly bounded, continuous representations on the Banach spaces $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$, with $\mathcal{X}_\infty$, $\mathcal{Y}_\infty$ the subspaces of smooth vectors of $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$, respectively. Assume that there is *a topological linear isomorphism* $A\colon \mathcal{X}_\infty \to \mathcal{Y}_\infty$ $A\colon \mathcal{X}_\infty \to \mathcal{Y}_\infty$, with closure $\overline{A}\colon \mathcal{D}(\overline{A}) \to \mathcal{Y}$, such that for all $g \in G$ *one has* $A\pi(g)|_{\mathcal{X}_\infty} = \tau(g)A$. Let us moreover assume that the norm closure of $\tau(L^1(G))$ is a left-sided ideal $\mathcal{J}$ of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y})$ and that for a given operator $S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y})$, the multiplication operator $\mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{J}$, $Y \mapsto SY$, factorizes through the map $\tau\colon L^1(G) \to \mathcal{J}$, in the sense that there exists a linear operator $C_S\colon \mathcal{C}_0(G) \to L^1(G)$ with $S\tau(\varphi) = \tau(C_S\varphi)$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0(G)$. Multiplying this equality to the right by $\tau(\psi)$ for $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_0(G)$, we obtain $C_S(\varphi * \psi) = (C_S\varphi) * \psi$, provided τ is injective. What we wish for is to find conditions on S ensuring that C_S is a convolution operator which belongs to the multiplier algebra of the Banach algebra $L^1(G)$ and which is then somehow

transferred to an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ via the representation $\pi\colon L^1(G) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$. In fact we will realize the above strategy with the convolution algebra $(L^1(G), *)$ replaced by a twisted convolution algebra that has natural injective representations defined by π and τ, since that will make possible the existence of the operator C_S.

Thus, there are two questions to elucidate in the above plan: the existence of the intertwining operator A and of a suitable transference method. In fact, we unify both in the abstract scheme sketched below.

Intertwining and transference operator: A general scheme. In the setting considered above for a connected Lie group G, if A is regarded as a densely-defined linear operator from $\mathcal{X}$ into $\mathcal{Y}$, then its closure $\overline{A}\colon \mathcal{D}(\overline{A}) \to \mathcal{Y}$ is a closed injective operator. Let us assume until the end of this section that $A = \overline{A}$.

(1) Give criteria for determining whether for a given operator $S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y})$ there exist a closed, densely-defined operator $A\colon \mathcal{D}(A) \to \mathcal{Y}$ with dense range $R(A)$, and operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ satisfying $SA \subseteq AT$, that is, $T(\mathcal{D}(A)) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(A)$ and $SAx = ATx$ for every $x \in \mathcal{D}(A)$. Also, once one shows or assumes that such operators exist, try to describe them. We summarize this question by referring, on a first level, to the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Y} & \xrightarrow{S} & \mathcal{Y} \\ {\scriptstyle A}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle A} \\ D(A) \subseteq \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{T} & D(A) \subseteq \mathcal{X} \end{array} \tag{1.1}$$

where the operator T is to be considered as the transferred operator of S via the intertwining operator A. Thus A can also be seen as a transference operator.

Specifically, we consider reflexive Banach spaces $\mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{X}$. In this case, the Banach space $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y})$ of bounded linear operators on $\mathcal{Y}$ is isometrically isomorphic to the Banach space $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y}^*)$ of bounded bilinear functionals on the product space $\mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y}^*$, where $\mathcal{Y}^*$ is the dual Banach space of $\mathcal{Y}$, via the mapping $S \mapsto b_S$ and its inverse $b \mapsto S_b$ given for $S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y})$, $b \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y}^*)$ by

$$b_S(y, y^*) := \langle Sy, y^* \rangle \quad (y \in \mathcal{Y}, y^* \in \mathcal{Y}^*)$$

and

$$S_b(y)\colon y^* \mapsto b(y, y^*) \quad (y \in \mathcal{Y}, y^* \in \mathcal{Y}^*).$$

The same holds for $\mathcal{X}$ replacing $\mathcal{Y}$.

Under the above identification, the adjoint operator $A^*\colon D(A^*) \subseteq \mathcal{Y}^* \to \mathcal{X}^*$ is injective on $R(A^*)$ since A has dense range in $\mathcal{Y}$. Here we recall that $D(A^*)$ is the set of all $y^* \in \mathcal{Y}^*$ such that the functional $x \mapsto \langle Ax, y^* \rangle$ extends to a bounded linear functional on $\mathcal{X}$. Then diagram (1.1) reads now $b_S \circ (A \times (A^*)^{-1}) = b_T$ for b_S, b_T as before. In other words, one gets the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y}^* & \xrightarrow{b_S} & \mathbb{C} \\ {\scriptstyle A\times(A^*)^{-1}}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle Id_{\mathbb{C}}} \\ D(A) \times R(A^*) \subseteq \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}^* & \xrightarrow{b_T} & \mathbb{C} \end{array}$$

where $A \times (A^*)^{-1}$ can now be seen as the transference operator from b_S to b_T.

(2) Since the Banach spaces $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y}^*)$ and $(\mathcal{Y}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{Y}^*)^*$ are isometric (the same holds with $\mathcal{X}$ replacing $\mathcal{Y}$) the latter diagram can be linearized, and then we obtain

a second abstract formulation: Let $\mathcal{X}$, $\mathcal{Y}$ be reflexive Banach spaces, $(\mathcal{X}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{X}^*)/\mathcal{E}$, $(\mathcal{Y}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{Y}^*)/\mathcal{F}$ be quotient Banach spaces of the projective Banach tensor products $\mathcal{X}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{X}^*$ and $\mathcal{Y}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{Y}^*$ respectively. One then is interested in bounded linear mappings S, T and closed operator A, irrespective if it is densely defined or not, making commutative the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathcal{Y}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{Y}^*)/\mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{S} & \mathbb{C} \\ {\scriptstyle A}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle Id_{\mathbb{C}}} \\ D(A)\subseteq(\mathcal{X}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{X}^*)/\mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{T} & \mathbb{C} \end{array} \tag{1.2}$$

In this picture, A plays the role of a transference operator and T is to be taken as the transferred map from S, through A. Also, A is to be regarded as encoding an intertwining mapping.

Note that, as regards (1.1), (1.2), once S has been transferred to T, one can prove properties of S from properties of T or the other way around.

Structure of this paper. The article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prove that all irreducible uniformly bounded representations of nilpotent Lie groups on reflexive Banach spaces are strongly irreducible and CCR, transferring the well-known results for unitary irreducible representations, see Theorem 2.9 and Theorem 2.10 for details. L^p-properties of operators defined by the Pedersen transform is the subject of Sections 3– 5. Thus Section 3 records properties of the Pedersen transform on coadjoint orbits of nilpotent Lie groups, the definition and basic properties of (L^p-)Pedersen multipliers, and lists some problems related to them. For flat coadjoint orbits such problems arise naturally in terms of projective representations and the so-called twisted convolution product, and the remaining part of the paper deals precisely with this situation. Section 4 gives the characterization of twisted (left) convolution operators, see Proposition 4.5, roughly as being the operators that are invariant to convolutions to the right. In Section 5 we prove a fairly wide extension of [Mau80, Th. 5.2], from Weyl multipliers on Heisenberg groups to Pedersen multipliers on Lie groups with flat generic coadjoint orbits, namely Theorem 5.6. This theorem proves the L^p-boundedness of Pedersen multipliers, as a transference result within the framework given by diagram (1.2). Section 6 contains examples of Pedersen multipliers which are Pedersen transforms of certain functions that satisfy appropriate Calderón-Zygmund conditions. The proof of main result of this section (Theorem 6.7) requires a suitable Calderón-Zygmund theory for convolution with a twist, which could not be derived as a particular case of the more abstract versions of the Calderón-Zygmund theory existing in the literature. Section 7 contains some families of examples, in particular a continuous family of 3-step nilpotent Lie groups that are mutually non-isomorphic and have generic flat orbits.

Notation. Throughout the paper we denote by $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{V})$ the Schwartz space on a finite-dimensional real vector space $\mathcal{V}$. That is, $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{V})$ is the set of all smooth functions that decay faster than any polynomial together with their partial derivatives of arbitrary order. Its topological dual —the space of tempered distributions on $\mathcal{V}$— is denoted by $\mathcal{S}'(\mathcal{V})$. We use $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ to denote any duality pairing between finite-dimensional real vector spaces whose meaning is clear from the context.

We also use the convention that the Lie groups are denoted by upper case Latin letters and the Lie algebras are denoted by the corresponding lower case Gothic letters. For any finite-dimensional real Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ we denote by $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} := \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{g}$ its complexification, which is a complex Lie algebra, and by $\mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$ the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$, which is a complex unital associative $*$-algebra and is isomorphic to the algebra of distributions with the support at the unit element $\mathbf{1} \in G$ for any Lie group G whose Lie algebra is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}$.

2. Banach space representations of nilpotent Lie groups

The main result of this section is Theorem 2.10, which shows that any uniformly bounded irreducible representation of a nilpotent Lie group G on a reflexive Banach space is CCR, that is, the closure of the image of $L^1(G)$ is the space of compact operators on the Banach space of the representation. The result is proved by transferring the well-known results for irreducible unitary representations on Hilbert spaces, and illustrates the role that unitary representation theory on Hilbert spaces plays in the general Banach space representation theory of nilpotent Lie groups, via transference,

Let G be any connected, simply connected, nilpotent Lie group with its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Then the exponential map $\exp_G \colon \mathfrak{g} \to G$ is a diffeomorphism with the inverse denoted by $\log_G \colon G \to \mathfrak{g}$.

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be any complex Banach space with a fixed norm that defines its topology. Assume that $\pi \colon G \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ is a continuous representation which is *uniformly bounded*, in the sense that $\sup\{\|\pi(g)\| \mid g \in G\} < \infty$. Continuity of π means that its corresponding map $G \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$, $(g, x) \mapsto \pi(g)x$, is continuous. Then one can define the continuous homomorphism of Banach algebras

$$\pi \colon L^1(G) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}), \quad \pi(\varphi) = \int_G \varphi(g)\pi(g)\mathrm{d}g$$

where the above integral is strongly convergent.

In the next definition we introduce the smooth vectors for Banach space representations, and the smooth operators for Hilbert space representations. For our present purposes, a convenient reference for these notions is [BB10].

Definition 2.1.

(1) The space of *smooth vectors* for the representation π is

$$\mathcal{X}_\infty := \{x \in \mathcal{X} \mid \pi(\cdot)x \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(G, \mathcal{X})\}.$$

The linear space $\mathcal{X}_\infty$ is endowed with the linear topology which makes the linear injective map $\mathcal{X}_\infty \to \mathcal{C}^\infty(G, \mathcal{X})$, $x \mapsto \pi(\cdot)x$ into a linear topological isomorphism onto its image. Then $\mathcal{X}_\infty$ is a Fréchet space which is continuously and densely embedded in $\mathcal{X}$ (see for instance [BB10, Prop. 2.2] and the references therein). The *derived representation*

$$\mathrm{d}\pi \colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}_\infty), \quad \mathrm{d}\pi(X)x = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{t=0} \pi(\exp_G(tX))x$$

is a Lie algebra representation, so it extends to a unital homomorphism of complex associative algebras $\mathrm{d}\pi \colon \mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) \to \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\infty)$.

(2) Assume that $\mathcal{X}$ is a Hilbert space. Then the set $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})_\infty$ of *smooth operators* for the representation π is

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})_\infty := \{T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}) \mid T(\mathcal{X}) \subseteq \mathcal{X}_\infty \text{ and } T^*(\mathcal{X}) \subseteq \mathcal{X}_\infty\}.$$

(See [BB10, Sect. 3] for more details and [BB10, Cor. 3.1] for the natural topology on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})_\infty$ when π is a unitary irreducible representation.)

It is easily checked that $\pi(\mathcal{S}(G))\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{X}_\infty$. In particular, one has a representation of the convolution algebra $\mathcal{S}(G)$,

$$\pi_\mathcal{S} \colon \mathcal{S}(G) \to \mathrm{End}\,(\mathcal{X}_\infty), \quad \pi_\mathcal{S}(\varphi) := \pi(\varphi)|_{\mathcal{X}_\infty}.$$

Now we can make the following definition.

Definition 2.2. With the above notation, the uniformly bounded continuous representation $\pi \colon G \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ is called *strongly irreducible* if its associated representation $\pi_\mathcal{S} \colon \mathcal{S}(G) \to \mathrm{End}\,(\mathcal{X}_\infty)$ is algebraically irreducible, that is, the only linear subspaces of $\mathcal{X}_\infty$ that are invariant to all the operators in $\pi_\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{S}(G))$ are $\{0\}$ and $\mathcal{X}_\infty$.

On the other hand, the representation π is called *topologically irreducible* (for short *irreducible*) if the only closed linear subspaces of $\mathcal{X}$ that are invariant to all the operators in $\pi(G)$ are $\{0\}$ and $\mathcal{X}$.

Example 2.3. If $\mathcal{X}$ is a Hilbert space and the bounded continuous representation $\pi \colon G \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ is topologically irreducible, then π is also strongly irreducible.

In fact, since the group G is amenable and π is bounded, it follows that π is similar to a unitary representation. Then, it is known that unitary irreducible representations of nilpotent Lie groups are strongly irreducible; see [How77, Cor. 3.4.1].

Lemma 2.4. *Let G be any connected Lie group with its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and assume that $\pi \colon G \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ and $\tau \colon G \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y})$ are uniformly bounded continuous representations on Banach spaces. Then for any topological linear isomorphism $A \colon \mathcal{X}_\infty \to \mathcal{Y}_\infty$ the following assertions are equivalent:*

(i) For all $g \in G$ one has $A\pi(g)|_{\mathcal{X}_\infty} = \tau(g)A$.
(ii) For all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ one has $A\mathrm{d}\pi(X) = \mathrm{d}\tau(X)A$.

Proof. This is a special case of [Po72, Cor. 3.3]. □

The following notion of almost equivalence of group representations is suggested by [Po72, Def. 3.3].

Definition 2.5. In the setting of Lemma 2.4, we say the representations π and τ are *almost equivalent*, and the operator A is called an *almost equivalence*.

Remark 2.6. The almost equivalence relation is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive, and for any pair of unitary representations this relation coincides with unitary equivalence by [Po72, Th. 3.4].

It is interesting to study how various properties of Lie group representations are transferred by almost equivalence, a question which in fact brings into line with our general plan in the paper. We will illustrate that idea by proving in Theorem 2.10 below that in the case of nilpotent Lie groups the well-known CCR property of their unitary irreducible representations actually propagates under a suitable form to all their uniformly bounded irreducible representations on reflexive Banach spaces.

Lemma 2.7. *In the setting of Lemma 2.4 the following assertions hold:*

(i) If A is regarded as a densely-defined linear operator from $\mathcal{X}$ into $\mathcal{Y}$, then its closure $\overline{A}\colon \mathcal{D}(\overline{A}) \to \mathcal{Y}$ is an injective operator.

(ii) For every $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(G)$ and $x \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{A})$ one has $\pi(\varphi)x \in \mathcal{X}_\infty \subseteq \mathcal{D}(\overline{A})$ and $A\pi(\varphi)x = \overline{A}\pi(\varphi)x = \tau(\varphi)\overline{A}x$.

(iii) If G is a nilpotent Lie group, then the above assertion holds for $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(G)$.

Proof. That A is a closable operator follows by [Po72, Th. 3.2], while injectivity of $\overline{A}$ was noted in the proof of [Po72, Cor. 3.3].

The second and third assertions follows by Hille's theorem which roughly says that closed linear operators commute with the Bochner integrals (see [HiPh74, Th. 3.7.12]). □

Note that Lemma 2.7 shows that almost equivalence fits into the diagram (1.1).

Lemma 2.8. *Let G be any locally compact group with a set $\mathcal{A}$ of absolutely integrable functions on G that is invariant under right translations by some dense subset of G and such that for every neighborhood V of $\mathbf{1} \in G$ there exists $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\operatorname{supp}\varphi \subseteq V$, $0 \leq \varphi$ almost everywhere on G, and $\int_G \varphi(g)\mathrm{d}g = 1$.*

If $\pi\colon G \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ is any bounded continuous representation with its corresponding Banach algebra representation $\pi\colon L^1(G) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$, then for any closed linear subspace $\mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ one has $\pi(G)\mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{Y} \iff \pi(\mathcal{A})\mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}$.

Proof. The implication "⇒" is clear. For the converse implication use that fact that for any absolutely integrable function φ on G with $0 \leq \varphi$ almost everywhere on G and $\int_G \varphi(g)\mathrm{d}g = 1$, one has

$$\|\pi(\varphi)x - x\| \leq \int_G \varphi(g)\|\pi(g)x - x\|\mathrm{d}g \leq \sup_{g\in V}\|\pi(g)x - x\|$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $V \subseteq G$ with $\operatorname{supp}\varphi \subseteq V$ and $\phi \geq 0$ almost everywhere on G. Now, by using the hypothesis, there is such a function $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$. Thus, by using in addition the continuity of $\pi\colon G \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$, it follows that

$$(\forall x \in \mathcal{X}) \quad \inf_{\varphi\in\mathcal{A}}\|\pi(\varphi)x - x\| = 0.$$

Then, by the hypothesis that $\mathcal{A}$ is invariant under right translations by some dense subset $\Gamma \subseteq G$, we obtain

$$(\forall x \in \mathcal{X})(\forall g \in \Gamma) \quad \inf_{\varphi\in\mathcal{A}}\|\pi(\varphi)x - \pi(g)x\| = \inf_{\varphi\in\mathcal{A}}\|\pi(\varphi)\pi(g)x - \pi(g)x\| = 0.$$

This implies that if $\mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ is any closed linear subspace with $\pi(\mathcal{A})\mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}$, then $\pi(\Gamma)\mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}$, hence also $\pi(G)\mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}$. □

Theorem 2.9. *Let $\pi\colon G \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Z})$ be any uniformly bounded continuous representation of the nilpotent Lie group G. Then π is topologically irreducible if and only if it is strongly irreducible, and if this is the case then there exists a unique point in the unitary dual of G consisting of unitary irreducible representations of G which are almost equivalent to π and whose spaces of smooth vectors are isomorphic as $\mathcal{S}(G)$-modules with the space of smooth vectors of π.*

Proof. First let $\pi\colon G \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Z})$ be any strongly irreducible representation and $\{0\} \neq \mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}$ be any closed linear subspace with $\pi(G)\mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}$. Then one has also $\pi(\mathcal{S}(G))\mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}$ by Lemma 2.8. On the other hand $\pi(\mathcal{S}(G))\mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_\infty$ and $\pi(\mathcal{S}(G))\mathcal{Y}$ is a dense linear subspace of $\mathcal{Y}$. Moreover, since $\{0\} \neq \mathcal{Y}$, it easily checked that $\{0\} \neq \pi(\mathcal{S}(G))\mathcal{Y}$ hence $\pi(\mathcal{S}(G))\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{Z}_\infty$ by the assumption that π is strongly irreducible along with the fact that the linear space $\pi(\mathcal{S}(G))\mathcal{Y}$ is invariant under the algebra representation $\pi_{\mathcal{S}}\colon \mathcal{S}(G) \to \mathrm{End}\,(\mathcal{Z}_\infty)$. Thus $\mathcal{Z}_\infty = \pi(\mathcal{S}(G))\mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}$, which implies $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Y}$, and we are done with one of the asserted implications.

For the converse implication assume that π is topologically irreducible. Using Lemma 2.8 for $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{S}(G)$, it then follows that $\pi\colon \mathcal{S}(G) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Z})$ is a topologically irreducible representation, and now the conclusion follows by [Lu90, Th.]. The uniqueness of the point in the unitary dual of G as in the statement follows by [Po72, Th. 3.4]. □

Note that the second part of the above theorem says that one can find a unitary irreducible representation $\pi_0\colon G \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and a closed injective linear operator $A\colon \mathcal{D}(A) \to \mathcal{Z}$ whose domain $\mathcal{D}(A)$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}$ and contains $\mathcal{H}_\infty$, with range $\mathrm{Ran}\, A$ dense in $\mathcal{Z}$ and contains $\mathcal{Z}_\infty$, and which defines by restriction a topological isomorphism of $\mathcal{S}(G)$-modules $A|_{\mathcal{H}_\infty}\colon \mathcal{H}_\infty \to \mathcal{Z}_\infty$. Thus we are in the situation reflected in (1.1), and therefore can say that the representation π has been transferred to π_0. Next, we take advantage of the properties of π_0 to establish Theorem 2.10. In order to state the result we denote by $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{X})$ the norm-closure of the finite-rank operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$, which is equal to the set of all compact operators on $\mathcal{X}$ if the Banach space $\mathcal{X}$ has the approximation property.

Theorem 2.10. *Let $\pi\colon G \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Z})$ be any uniformly bounded continuous representation of the nilpotent Lie group G. If π is topologically irreducible and the Banach space $\mathcal{Z}$ is reflexive, then π has the CCR property; that is, the norm closure of $\pi(L^1(G))$ is equal to $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{Z})$.*

Proof. We first prove that $\pi(L^1(G)) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{Z})$. Since $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(G)$ is a dense subset of $L^1(G)$, the map $\pi\colon L^1(G) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Z})$ is continuous, and $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{Z})$ is a closed subset of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Z})$ in the operator norm topology, it suffices to check that for arbitrary $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(G)$ one has $\pi(\varphi) \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{Z})$. We will actually prove something more, namely that the operator $\pi(\varphi)\colon \mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{Z}$ is nuclear. To this end note that $\pi(\varphi)\mathcal{Z} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_\infty$, and then $\pi(\varphi)\colon \mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{Z}_\infty$ is a continuous operator by the closed graph theorem, using the fact that the inclusion map $\mathcal{Z}_\infty \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ is continuous and $\mathcal{Z}_\infty$ is a Fréchet space. Then the operator $\pi(\varphi)$ factorizes as $\mathcal{Z} \xrightarrow{\pi(\varphi)} \mathcal{Z}_\infty \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$. Therefore the assertion will follow by [Sch66, Ch. III, Prop. 7.2 and its Cor. 2] as soon as we will have proved that $\mathcal{Z}_\infty$ is a nuclear space.

For that, we use the fact that Theorem 2.9 gives a topological isomorphism of $\mathcal{S}(G)$-modules $\mathcal{H}_\infty \simeq \mathcal{Z}_\infty$ The space of smooth vectors $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ is well-known to be a nuclear Fréchet space (see for instance [BB10, Cor. 3.1(1.)]), hence also $\mathcal{Z}_\infty$ is a nuclear space, and this completes the proof of the inclusion $\pi(L^1(G)) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{Z})$.

Now, to prove that the above inclusion is actually an equality, we will check that $\pi(\mathcal{S}(G))$ contains a dense subset of $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{Z})$. More precisely, for a suitable dense linear subspace $\mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}^*$ we will prove that

$$\mathcal{Y} \otimes \mathcal{Z}_\infty \subseteq \pi(\mathcal{S}(G)). \tag{2.1}$$

The set of finite-rank operators

$$\overline{\mathcal{H}_\infty} \otimes \mathcal{H}_\infty := \operatorname{span}\{(\cdot \mid h)k \mid h, k \in \mathcal{H}_\infty\}$$

is contained in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_\infty$, where $(\cdot \mid \cdot)$ is the scalar product of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Since the map $\pi_0 \colon \mathcal{S}(G) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_\infty$ is surjective (see [How77, Th. 3.4]), for any $h, k \in \mathcal{H}_\infty$ there exists $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(G)$ such that one has $\pi_0(\psi)v = (v \mid h)k$ for all $v \in \mathcal{H}_\infty$. Using the topological isomorphism of $\mathcal{S}(G)$-modules $A \colon \mathcal{H}_\infty \to \mathcal{Z}_\infty$ we now obtain

$$\pi(\psi)Av = A\pi_0(\psi)v = (v \mid h)Ak = (A^{-1}Av \mid h)Ak = \langle (A^{-1})^*\overline{h}, Av\rangle Ak$$

where $\overline{h} := (\cdot \mid h) \in \mathcal{H}^* \subseteq (\mathcal{H}_\infty)^*$ and $(A^{-1})^* \colon (\mathcal{H}_\infty)^* \to (\mathcal{Z}_\infty)^*$. It follows that

$$(\forall w \in \mathcal{Z}_\infty) \quad \pi(\psi)w = \langle (A^{-1})^*\overline{h}, w\rangle Ak. \tag{2.2}$$

Here we know that $\pi(\psi) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Z})$ since $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(G)$ and π is uniformly bounded, hence using also the fact that $\mathcal{Z}_\infty$ is dense in $\mathcal{Z}$, it follows that for arbitrary $h \in \mathcal{H}_\infty$ the functional $(A^{-1})^*\overline{h} \in (\mathcal{Z}_\infty)^*$ is continuous with respect to the norm of $\mathcal{Z}$ and then it uniquely extends to a functional $(A^{-1})^*\overline{h} \in \mathcal{Z}^*$. Thus, denoting

$$\mathcal{Y} := \operatorname{span}\{(A^{-1})^*\overline{h} \mid h \in \mathcal{H}_\infty\} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}^*$$

one obtains (2.1), and it remains to check that $\mathcal{Y}$ is a dense subspace of $\mathcal{Z}^*$.

To this end we argue by contradiction. If $\mathcal{Y}$ were not dense in $\mathcal{Z}^*$, then by the Hahn-Banach theorem there exists $\gamma \in (\mathcal{Z}^*)^* \setminus \{0\}$ with $\gamma(y) = 0$ for all $y \in \mathcal{Y}$. Using the fact that $\mathcal{Z}$ is a reflexive Banach space it then follows that there exists $x \in \mathcal{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ with $\langle (A^{-1})^*\overline{h}, x\rangle = 0$ for all $h \in \mathcal{H}_\infty$. By (2.2), this implies that $\pi(\psi)x = 0$ for every $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(G)$ with $\pi_0(\psi) \in (\mathcal{H}^*)_\infty \otimes \mathcal{H}_\infty$.

But the surjective map $\pi_0 \colon \mathcal{S}(G) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_\infty$ is open by the open mapping theorem, and on the other hand the set of finite-rank operators $\overline{\mathcal{H}_\infty} \otimes \mathcal{H}_\infty$ is dense in the Fréchet space $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_\infty$ by [BB10, Cor. 3.3], and then it is straightforward to check that $\{\psi \in \mathcal{S}(G) \mid \pi_0(\psi) \in \overline{\mathcal{H}_\infty} \otimes \mathcal{H}_\infty\}$ is a dense subset of $\mathcal{S}(G)$. This implies $\pi(\psi)x = 0$ for every $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(G)$, hence $x = 0$, which is a contradiction with $x \in \mathcal{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

3. The Pedersen transform

We use the following notation:

(1) G is a again a connected simply connected nilpotent Lie group.
(2) We denote by $\mathfrak{g}^*$ the linear dual space to $\mathfrak{g}$ and by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \colon \mathfrak{g}^* \times \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{R}$ the natural duality pairing.
(3) Let $\xi_0 \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ with the corresponding coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{O} := \operatorname{Ad}_G^*(G)\xi_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^*$.
(4) Let $\pi \colon G \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be any unitary irreducible representation associated with the coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{O}$.
(5) The *isotropy group* at ξ_0 is $G_{\xi_0} := \{g \in G \mid \operatorname{Ad}_G^*(g)\xi_0 = \xi_0\}$ with the corresponding *isotropy Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{g}_{\xi_0} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \xi_0 \circ \operatorname{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}} X = 0\}$. If we denote the *center* of $\mathfrak{g}$ by $\mathfrak{z} := \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [X, \mathfrak{g}] = \{0\}\}$, then it is clear that $\mathfrak{z} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\xi_0}$.
(6) Let $n := \dim \mathfrak{g}$ and fix a sequence of ideals in $\mathfrak{g}$,

$$\{0\} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \subset \mathfrak{g}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{g}_n = \mathfrak{g}$$

such that $\dim(\mathfrak{g}_j/\mathfrak{g}_{j-1}) = 1$ and $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_j] \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{j-1}$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$.

(7) Pick any $X_j \in \mathfrak{g}_j \setminus \mathfrak{g}_{j-1}$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$, such that $\langle \xi_0, X_1 \rangle = 1$. Note that the set $\{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$ is a *Jordan-Hölder basis* in $\mathfrak{g}$.

Definition 3.1. Consider the set of *jump indices* of the coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{O}$ with respect to the aforementioned Jordan-Hölder basis $\{X_1, \ldots, X_n\} \subset \mathfrak{g}$,

$$e := \{j \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \mid \mathfrak{g}_j \not\subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{j-1} + \mathfrak{g}_{\xi_0}\} = \{j \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \mid X_j \notin \mathfrak{g}_{j-1} + \mathfrak{g}_{\xi_0}\}$$

and then define the corresponding *predual of the coadjoint orbit* $\mathcal{O}$,

$$\mathfrak{g}_e := \operatorname{span}\{X_j \mid j \in e\} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}.$$

We note the direct sum decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\xi_0} \dotplus \mathfrak{g}_e$. □

We can now give the general construction of an operator calculus, which is due to N.V. Pedersen; see [Pe94, pag. 10].

Definition 3.2. The *Pedersen transform* $T^\pi(\cdot)$ for the unitary representation π is defined for every $b \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ by

$$T^\pi(b) = \int_{\mathfrak{g}_e} b(X)\pi(\exp_G X)\mathrm{d}X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}).$$

We call $T^\pi(b)$ the operator with the *Pedersen symbol* $b \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}_e)$. □

Theorem 3.3. *The Pedersen transform has the following properties:*

(1) For every symbol $b \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ we have $T^\pi(b) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_\infty$ and the mapping $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}_e) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_\infty$, $b \mapsto T^\pi(b)$ is a linear topological isomorphism.

(2) For every $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_\infty$ we have $B = T^\pi(b)$, where $b \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ is given by $b(X) = \operatorname{Tr}(\pi(\exp_G X)^{-1}B)$ for every $X \in \mathfrak{g}_e$.

(3) For every $a, b \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ we have

(a) $T^\pi(\check{b}) = T^\pi(b)^*$, where $\check{b}(X) = b(-X)$ for every $X \in \mathfrak{g}_e$;

(b) $\operatorname{Tr}(T^\pi(b)) = b(0)$;

(c) $\operatorname{Tr}(T^\pi(a)T^\pi(b)) = \int_{\mathfrak{g}_e} a(X)b(X)\mathrm{d}X$;

(d) $\operatorname{Tr}(T^\pi(a)T^\pi(b)^*) = \int_{\mathfrak{g}_e} a(X)\overline{b(X)}\mathrm{d}X$.

Proof. See [Pe94, subsects. 2.2–2.3]. □

Notation 3.4. Recall that $\mathcal{H}_{-\infty}$ is the space of continuous antilinear functionals on $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ and the corresponding pairing will be denoted by $(\cdot \mid \cdot)\colon \mathcal{H}_{-\infty} \times \mathcal{H}_\infty \to \mathbb{C}$ just as the scalar product in $\mathcal{H}$, since they agree on $\mathcal{H}_\infty \times \mathcal{H}_\infty$ if we think of the natural inclusions $\mathcal{H}_\infty \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{-\infty}$. □

Remark 3.5. Both $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ and $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_\infty$ are nuclear Fréchet spaces and we have the canonical linear topological isomorphism $\mathcal{H}_\infty \widehat{\otimes} \overline{\mathcal{H}}_\infty \simeq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_\infty$, $x \otimes y \mapsto (\cdot \mid y)x$ (see also [BB10]). Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_\infty^* \simeq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\infty, \mathcal{H}_{-\infty})$ be the topological dual of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_\infty$ and denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ either of the duality pairings

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_\infty^* \times \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_\infty \to \mathbb{C} \text{ and } \mathcal{S}'(\mathfrak{g}_e) \times \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}_e) \to \mathbb{C}.$$

Then for every tempered distribution $a \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ we can use Theorem 3.3(1) to define $T^\pi(a) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_\infty^*$ such that

$$(\forall b \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}_e)) \quad \langle T^\pi(a), T^\pi(b) \rangle = \langle a, b \rangle$$

Just as in Definition 3.2 we call $T^\pi(a) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\infty, \mathcal{H}_{-\infty})$ the operator with the *Pedersen symbol* $a \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathfrak{g}_e)$. Note that if actually $a \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}_e)$, then the present notation agrees with Definition 3.2 because of Theorem 3.3(3c).

We also note that the Pedersen transform extended as above is a linear topological isomorphism

$$T^\pi \colon \mathcal{S}'(\mathfrak{g}_e) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^*_\infty \simeq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\infty, \mathcal{H}_{-\infty})$$

which restricts to a unitary operator

$$T^\pi \colon L^2(\mathfrak{g}_e) \to \mathfrak{S}_2(\mathcal{H})$$

where $\mathfrak{S}_2(\mathcal{H})$ stands for the Hilbert-Schmidt ideal on $\mathcal{H}$.

If we introduce the distribution space

$$L^\pi(\mathfrak{g}_e) := \{b \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathfrak{g}_e) \mid T^\pi(b) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\} \tag{3.1}$$

then it has the natural structure of a von Neumann algebra with respect to the twisted convolution product, defined by duality from Definition 3.6 below, such that $T^\pi \colon L^\pi(\mathfrak{g}_e) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is an isometric $*$-isomorphism. It is easily checked that $L^1(\mathfrak{g}_e) \subseteq L^\pi(\mathfrak{g}_e)$.

Some basic problems on Pedersen multipliers. In order to be able to ask the questions mentioned in the title of this section, we need to recall the twisted convolution product in the present setting.

Definition 3.6. Recall that $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_\infty$ is an involutive associative subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. It then follows by Theorem 3.3(1) that there exists a uniquely defined bilinear associative *twisted convolution product*

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}_e) \times \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}_e) \to \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}_e), \quad (a, b) \mapsto a *_e b$$

such that

$$(\forall a, b \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}_e)) \quad T^\pi(a *_e b) = T^\pi(a) T^\pi(b).$$

Thus $(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}_e), *_e)$ is made into an involutive associative algebra such that the mapping $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}_e) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_\infty$, $a \mapsto T^\pi(a)$ is an algebra isomorphism.

Remark 3.7. Here are a few versions of twisted convolution products on Lie groups that occur in the earlier literature:

(1) In the special case when the center of G is 1-dimensional and the representation π is square-integrable modulo the center of G, the above twisted convolution product agrees with the one introduced in [Mai07, Def. 1].
(2) Twisted convolution products were studied in [Mü84] in the special setting where G is a homogeneous group with 1-dimensional center and its generic coadjoint orbits are flat.
(3) In the case of the Heisenberg group, the twisted convolution product plays in important role in the description of various function spaces; see for instance [Ga01] for Besov spaces.

The following definition is inspired by the notion of Weyl multiplier introduced at the beginning of [Mau80, Sect. 3].

Definition 3.8. Let $p \in [1, \infty)$. We say that an operator $M \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a *Pedersen multiplier* of $L^p(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ (with respect to the representation π) if there exists a bounded linear operator $C_M \colon L^p(\mathfrak{g}_e) \to L^p(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ such that for every $\phi \in L^1(\mathfrak{g}_e) \cap L^p(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ we have $T^\pi(C_M \phi) = M T^\pi(\phi)$.

Remark 3.9. If $M \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a Pedersen multiplier of $L^p(\mathfrak{g}_e)$, then there can exist at most one operator C_M as in Definition 3.8, since $L^1(\mathfrak{g}_e) \cap L^p(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ is dense in $L^p(\mathfrak{g}_e)$. Also note that $C_M(L^1(\mathfrak{g}_e) \cap L^p(\mathfrak{g}_e)) \subseteq L^\pi(\mathfrak{g}_e)$. Comparing the definition of a Pedersen multiplier with diagram (1.1), one can say that a Pedersen L^p-multiplier is the operator $M \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ that is transferred to the operator $C_M \colon L^p(\mathfrak{g}_e) \to L^p(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ via T^π.

Remark 3.10. The multiplier terminology in Definition 3.8 is motivated by the fact that we have

$$(\forall \phi, \psi \in L^1(\mathfrak{g}_e) \cap L^p(\mathfrak{g}_e)) \quad C_M(\phi *_e \psi) = (C_M \phi) *_e \psi. \tag{3.2}$$

In fact we have

$$MT^\pi(\phi)T^\pi(\psi) = MT^\pi(\phi *_e \psi) = T^\pi(C_M(\phi *_e \psi))$$

and on the other hand

$$MT^\pi(\phi)T^\pi(\psi) = T^\pi(C_M\phi)T^\pi(\psi) = T^\pi((C_M\phi) *_e \psi).$$

Since the mapping $T^\pi \colon L^\pi(\mathfrak{g}_e) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is an isomorphism (see Remark 3.5), we see that (3.2) holds true. □

We list here some important problems for Pedersen multipliers. In the next section we will answer them for in the case of generic flat coadjoint orbits.

Problem 3.11. We recall from [ER95, Lemma 2.1] that by using suitable polarizations it is possible to perform a realization $\mathcal{H} \simeq L^2(\mathbb{R}^{d/2})$ such that the representation π gives rise to a continuous representation of G by isometries of $L^p(\mathbb{R}^{d/2})$ for arbitrary $p \in [1, \infty)$, where $d = \dim \mathcal{O}$. In this framework, is it possible to prove a version of [Mau80, Th. 5.2], to the effect that if $M \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a Pedersen multiplier of $L^p(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ then M is also a bounded operator on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^{d/2})$? □

Problem 3.12. Is it possible to prove a version of [Mau80, Prop. 3.1], saying that if $M \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a Pedersen multiplier of $L^p(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ then there exists $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ such that for every $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ we have $C_M\phi = u *_e \phi$? □

Problem 3.13. An affirmative answer to Problem 3.12 would allow us to study the Pedersen multipliers by using the operators defined by twisted convolution with a fixed function. To this end, one may need twisted versions of the results on convolution operators on amenable groups, for instance [He71, Th. C]; see also [De11]. □

4. Characterization of twisted convolution operators

The main result of this section is Proposition 4.5 below, which gives the characterization of twisted convolution operators as required in Problem 3.12 above for square-integrable representations.

For the sake of simplicity we will identify $\mathfrak{g}$ to G by means of the exponential map, so that the group multiplication $x \cdot y$ will be given by the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff series in $\mathfrak{g}$. We work in the following setting:

Setting 4.1. *The center Z of G is 1-dimensional and the representation π is square-integrable modulo Z.*

Note that in this setting $\mathfrak{g}_{\xi_0} = \mathfrak{z}$, which implies $e = \{2, \dots, n\}$, hence

$$\mathfrak{g}_e = \mathbb{R}X_2 \dot{+} \cdots \dot{+} \mathbb{R}X_n \simeq \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}.$$

Then $\mathfrak{g}_e$ has the natural structure of a nilpotent Lie algebra (but not a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$) and we will denote its Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff multiplication by

$$\mathfrak{g}_e \times \mathfrak{g}_e \to \mathfrak{g}_e, \quad (x, y) \mapsto x \cdot_e y.$$

Recall that $x \cdot_e y$ is just the projection of $x \cdot y$ onto $\mathfrak{g}_e$ according to the direct sum decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_e \dot{+} \mathfrak{z}$. In view of the relationship between ξ_0 and $X_1, \dots, X_n$, it then follows that

$$(\forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}_e) \quad x \cdot y = \underbrace{x \cdot_e y}_{\in \mathfrak{g}_e} + \underbrace{\langle \xi_0, x \cdot y \rangle X_1}_{\in \mathfrak{z}}. \tag{4.1}$$

Under the above assumption, the coadjoint orbit of ξ_0 is flat, that is,

$$\mathcal{O} = \{\xi \in \mathfrak{g}^* \mid \langle \xi, X_1 \rangle = 1\}$$

and the fact that the representation π is associated with $\mathcal{O}$ means that

$$(\forall t \in \mathbb{R}) \quad \pi(tX_1) = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t}.$$

Relationship to projective representations. In the above setting, by using (4.1), we get

$$(\forall x_1, x_2 \in \mathfrak{g}_e) \quad \pi(x_1)\pi(x_2) = \pi(x_1 \cdot x_2) = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\alpha(x_1, x_2)}\pi(x_1 \cdot_e x_2) \tag{4.2}$$

where

$$\alpha \colon \mathfrak{g}_e \times \mathfrak{g}_e \to \mathbb{R}, \quad \alpha(x_1, x_2) = \langle \xi_0, x_1 \cdot x_2 \rangle.$$

Hence $\pi|_{\mathfrak{g}_e} \colon \mathfrak{g}_e \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a projective representation of the nilpotent Lie group $G_e := (\mathfrak{g}_e, \cdot_e)$ with the corresponding 2-cocycle $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\alpha} \colon G_e \times G_e \to \mathbb{T}$ defined as above. For later use we recall that the cocycle property is

$$(\forall x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}_e) \quad \alpha(x, y) + \alpha(x \cdot_e y, z) = \alpha(x, y \cdot_e z) + \alpha(y, z).$$

We also have $\alpha(\lambda x, \mu x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}_e$ (see [Mai07, Lemma 1] and Lemma 6.4 below).

Formulas for the twisted convolution product. By using (4.2), it is easily checked that the twisted convolution product introduced in Definition 3.6 agrees with the $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\alpha}$*-twisted convolution product* from [Mü84, Eq. (5)] etc., for the 2-cocycle $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\alpha} \colon \mathfrak{g}_e \times \mathfrak{g}_e \to \mathbb{T}$ on the group G_e. Specifically, for $b_1, b_2 \in L^1(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ we have

$$(b_1 *_e b_2)(x) = \int_{\mathfrak{g}_e} b_1(x \cdot_e (-y))\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\alpha(x \cdot_e (-y), y)} b_2(y) \mathrm{d}y \tag{4.3}$$

$$= \int_{\mathfrak{g}_e} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\alpha(x, -y)} b_1(x \cdot_e (-y)) b_2(y) \mathrm{d}y \tag{4.4}$$

$$= \int_{\mathfrak{g}_e} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\alpha(-x, y)} b_1(y) b_2((-y) \cdot_e x) \mathrm{d}y. \tag{4.5}$$

See also [Mai07, Eq. (4)] for $\hbar = -1$.

Lemma 4.2. *In the setting 4.1, the following assertions hold true:*

(1) The twisted convolution product turns the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ into an associative Fréchet algebra.

(2) *The twisted convolution product extends to separately continuous bilinear maps* $\mathcal{S}'(\mathfrak{g}_e)\times\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}_e)\to\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ *and* $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}_e)\times\mathcal{S}'(\mathfrak{g}_e)\to\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathfrak{g}_e)$*, respectively.*

(3) *If we denote by* $\delta_v\in\mathcal{S}'(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ *the Dirac distribution at any* $v\in\mathfrak{g}_e$*, then for every* $\phi\in\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ *and* $x\in\mathfrak{g}_e$ *we have* $(\phi *_e \delta_v)(x)=\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\alpha(x,-v)}\phi(x\cdot_e(-v))$*.*

(4) *There exists a unique unitary representation* $\rho\colon G\to\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathfrak{g}_e))$ *such that for every* $v\in\mathfrak{g}_e$*,* $t\in\mathbb{R}$*, and* $\phi\in\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ *we have* $\rho(tX_1+v)\phi=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t}(\phi *_e\delta_v)$*. Moreover, the representation* ρ *is the orthogonal direct sum of countably many copies of the irreducible representation* π *and is unitarily equivalent to the representation of* G *induced from the character* $tX_1\mapsto\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t}$ *of the center of* G*.*

Proof. For Assertion (1), note that $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ is closed under the twisted convolution product since in (4.3) we have $\alpha(x,y)=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\langle\xi_0,x\cdot y\rangle}$ for all $x,y\in\mathfrak{g}_e$, and the function $(x,y)\mapsto\langle\xi_0,x\cdot y\rangle$ is a polynomial. The separate continuity of the twisted convolution product can be proved by using [CG90, Th. A.2.6], and then the joint continuity follows since $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ is a Fréchet space. See also [Mai07, Prop. 4].

Assertion (2) can be proved by using standard arguments.

For Assertion (3) we first use Assertion (2) to extend formula (4.4) to $b_2\in\mathcal{S}'(\mathfrak{g}_e)$, and then specialize that formula for $b_1=\phi\in\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ and $b_2=\delta_v\in\mathcal{S}'(\mathfrak{g}_e)$.

For Assertion (4) it is straightforward to check that ρ is a group homomorphism, and then by using Assertion (3) we can prove that ρ is also continuous and unitary. The second part of the assertion can be obtained by using the arguments from the proof of [Mai07, Prop. 2]. □

The infinitesimal generators of the representation ρ of Lemma 4.2(4) provide useful generalizations of the so-called symplectic differential operators from [Mai86], and their role was actually foreseen in the deep comment prior to [Mai86, Lemma 2]. More specifically, for $j\in\{2,\dots,n\}$ let us denote by $\widetilde{X}_j$ is the first-order differential operator on $\mathfrak{g}_e$ defined by the left-invariant vector field on the group $G_e=(\mathfrak{g}_e,\cdot_e)$ whose value at $0\in\mathfrak{g}_e$ is X_j (see for instance [CG90, page 236]). Then for every $J=(j_2,\dots,j_n)\in\mathbb{N}^{n-1}$ denote $\mathrm{d}\rho(X)^J:=\mathrm{d}\rho(X_2)^{j_2}\cdots\mathrm{d}\rho(X_n)^{j_n}$ and $\widetilde{X}^J:=\widetilde{X}_2^{j_2}\cdots\widetilde{X}_n^{j_n}$, which are linear differential operators with polynomial coefficients on $\mathfrak{g}_e$. Also pick a basis $\mathcal{Q}_0$ in the complex linear space $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ of all complex-valued polynomial functions on $\mathfrak{g}_e$.

Lemma 4.3. *If* $1\leq p\leq\infty$*, then the topology of the Schwartz space* $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ *is determined by the family of seminorms* $\{|\cdot|_{Q,J,p}\mid Q\in\mathcal{Q}_0,\ J\in\mathbb{N}^{n-1}\}$*, where we define*

$$|\phi|_{Q,J,p}:=\|Q\widetilde{X}^J\phi\|_{L^p(\mathfrak{g}_e)}$$

for all $\phi\in\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}_e)$*,* $Q\in\mathcal{Q}_0$*, and* $J\in\mathbb{N}^{n-1}$*.*

Proof. For every $j\in\{2,\dots,n\}$ it follows by the formula provided by Lemma 4.2(3) that for the polynomial function $p_j(\cdot):=\mathrm{i}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\big|_{t=0}\alpha(\cdot,tX_j)\colon\mathfrak{g}_e\to\mathbb{C}$ we have

$$(\forall\phi\in\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}_e))\quad \mathrm{d}\rho(X_j)\phi=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{t=0}(\phi *_e\delta_{tX_j})=-\widetilde{X}_j\phi+p_j\phi.$$

Then, just as in [Mai86, Lemma 2] and the comment after it, we obtain formulas of the form

$$\mathrm{d}\rho(X)^J=(-1)^{|J|}\widetilde{X}^J+\sum_{|S|<|J|}a_S(\cdot)\widetilde{X}^S,$$

$$\widetilde{X}^J = (-1)^{|J|}\mathrm{d}\rho(X)^J + \sum_{|S|<|J|} b_S(\cdot)\mathrm{d}\rho(X)^S$$

with polynomial coefficients $a_S(\cdot)$ and $b_S(\cdot)$. Now the conclusion for $p = \infty$ follows by using [CG90, Cor. A.2.3(b)] and then the general case follows by standard estimates; see for instance the ones prior to [CG90, Cor. A.2.4)]. □

For the statement of the following lemma we note that for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ there exists a continuous isometric representation $\rho\colon G \to \mathcal{B}(L^p(\mathfrak{g}_e))$ given by the same formula as the representation ρ provided by Lemma 4.2(4) for $p = 2$.

Lemma 4.4. *If $1 \leq p \leq q < \infty$ and $C\colon L^p(\mathfrak{g}_e) \to L^q(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ is a bounded linear operator, then the following properties are equivalent:*

(1) For all $\phi, \psi \in L^1(\mathfrak{g}_e) \cap L^p(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ we have $C(\phi *_e \psi) = (C\phi) *_e \psi$.
(2) For all $v \in \mathfrak{g}_e$ we have $\rho(v)C = C\rho(v)$.

Proof. "(2)⇒(1)" It follows by formula (4.4) that for $\phi, \psi \in L^1(\mathfrak{g}_e) \cap L^p(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ we have

$$\phi *_e \psi = \int_{\mathfrak{g}_e} \psi(y)\rho(y)\phi \mathrm{d}y$$

where the integral is convergent in $L^p(\mathfrak{g}_e)$. Therefore

$$C(\phi *_e \psi) = \int_{\mathfrak{g}_e} \psi(y)C(\rho(y)\phi)\mathrm{d}y = \int_{\mathfrak{g}_e} \psi(y)\rho(y)C\phi \mathrm{d}y = (C\phi) *_e \psi$$

where the integrals are convergent in $L^q(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ and the latter equality follows by the straightforward extension of formula (4.4) to $b_1 \in L^q(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ and $b_2 \in L^1(\mathfrak{g}_e)$.

"(1)⇒(2)" Let $v \in \mathfrak{g}_e$ arbitrary and pick a neighbourhood base $\{U_r\}_{r\geq 1}$ of $0 \in \mathfrak{g}_e$ consisting of bounded sets. For every $r \geq 1$ let $0 \leq \psi_r \in L^1(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ with $\operatorname{supp}\psi_r \subseteq U_r$ and $\int_{\mathfrak{g}_e} \psi_r \mathrm{d}x = 1$. Then by the definition of $\rho(\cdot)\phi$ for $\phi \in L^1(\mathfrak{g}_e) \cap L^p(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ we obtain $(\rho(v)\phi) *_e \psi_r = (\phi *_e \delta_v) *_e \psi_r = \phi *_e (\delta_v *_e \psi_r)$ hence by applying C to the extreme terms of these equalities and by using the assumption (1) we obtain

$$C(\rho(v)\phi) *_e \psi_r = (C\phi) *_e (\delta_v *_e \psi_r) = (\rho(v)C\phi) *_e \psi_r.$$

For $r \to \infty$ it then follows just as in the case of the non-twisted convolution (see [HR79, Th. 20.15] and also [EL69a, Th. 4]) that $C(\rho(v)\phi) = \rho(v)C\phi$ for arbitrary $\phi \in L^1(\mathfrak{g}_e) \cap L^p(\mathfrak{g}_e)$. Since $L^1(\mathfrak{g}_e) \cap L^p(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ is dense in $L^p(\mathfrak{g}_e)$, we see that Property (2) is satisfied, and this completes the proof. □

We are now able to give an affirmative answer to the above Problem 3.12 for square integrable representations. The method of proof of the following proposition goes back to [Hör60, Th. 1.2].

Proposition 4.5. *Let $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$ and assume that $C\colon L^p(\mathfrak{g}_e) \to L^q(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ is a bounded linear operator such that for all $\phi, \psi \in L^1(\mathfrak{g}_e) \cap L^p(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ we have $C(\phi *_e \psi) = (C\phi) *_e \psi$. Then there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ such that for every $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ we have $C\phi = u *_e \phi$.*

Proof. Assume that we have proved that the functional

$$u\colon \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}_e) \to \mathbb{C}, \quad \langle u, \phi\rangle = (C\check{\phi})(0) \tag{4.6}$$

is a distribution. Then since for all $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ we have

$$\langle u *_e \phi, \psi\rangle = \langle u, \psi *_e \check{\phi}\rangle = (C(\phi *_e \check{\psi}))(0) = (C(\phi) *_e \check{\psi})(0) = \langle C(\phi), \psi\rangle$$

it follows that $u *_e \phi = C(\phi)$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}_e)$.

Therefore the only problem is to check that the linear functional u in (4.6) is well defined and continuous.

It follows by the above Lemma 4.4 that for all $v \in \mathfrak{g}_e$ we have $\rho(v)C = C\rho(v)$. Moreover, it is easily seen that $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ is contained in the spaces of smooth vectors for the representation ρ on both spaces $L^p(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ and $L^q(\mathfrak{g}_e)$, and then by [Po72, Th. 3.1] we obtain for all $X \in \mathrm{U}((\mathfrak{g}_e)_{\mathbb{C}})$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}_e)$

$$\mathrm{d}\rho(X)C\phi \in L^q(\mathfrak{g}_e) \text{ and } \mathrm{d}\rho(X)C\phi = C(\mathrm{d}\rho(X)\phi). \tag{4.7}$$

It then follows that for every integer $k \geq n/q$ there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for every $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ we have

$$|(C\phi)(0)| \leq c \sum_{|J|\leq k} \|\widetilde{X}^J(C\phi)\|_{L^q(\mathfrak{g}_e)}$$

(see [Po72, Lemma 5.1] or the proof of [CG90, Lemma A.1.5]). By using Lemma 4.3 we see that, maybe by changing the constant $c > 0$, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} |(C\phi)(0)| \leq & c \sum_{|J|\leq k} \|\mathrm{d}\rho(X)^J(C\phi)\|_{L^q(\mathfrak{g}_e)} \\ = & c \sum_{|J|\leq k} \|C\mathrm{d}\rho(X)^J\phi\|_{L^q(\mathfrak{g}_e)} \\ \leq & \, c\|C\| \sum_{|J|\leq k} \|\mathrm{d}\rho(X)^J\phi\|_{L^p(\mathfrak{g}_e)} \end{aligned}$$

and another application of Lemma 4.3 shows that the functional $\phi \mapsto (C\phi)(0)$ is continuous on the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}_e)$, which concludes the proof. □

Remark 4.6. In the case $p = 1$ the distribution $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ in Proposition 4.5 is actually a measure; see [EL69b, Th. 1]. □

5. Pedersen multipliers are L^p-bounded

In this section we prove (see Theorem 5.6) that all Pedersen multipliers in the setting of Section 4 are bounded on the corresponding L^p-spaces for all $p \in (1, \infty)$. To this end we will adapt the transference method to projective representations, in the setting provided by diagram (1.2), and this will allow us to obtain a generalization of [Mau80, Th. 5.2] to any nilpotent Lie groups with square-integrable representations.

Therefore *we resume the setting 4.1 of Section 4.* Moreover we denote

$$\gamma(x_1, x_2) := \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\alpha(x_1,x_2)}, \quad \text{for all } x_1, x_2 \in \mathfrak{g}_e,$$

so that (4.2) takes on the form

$$(\forall x_1, x_2 \in \mathfrak{g}_e) \quad \pi(x_1)\pi(x_2) = \gamma(x_1, x_2)\pi(x_1 \cdot_e x_2). \tag{5.1}$$

Notation 5.1. Recall that we have identified G_e and $\mathfrak{g}_e$ via the exponential mapping. We denote $\Gamma := G/\mathrm{Ker}\,\pi$. This is a nilpotent Lie group which is not simply connected, and in fact its center $Z/\mathrm{Ker}\,\pi$ is isomorphic to the unit circle $\mathbb{T}$. One has a short exact sequence of Lie groups

$$\mathbf{1} \to \mathbb{T} \to \Gamma \to G_e \to \mathbf{1}$$

and the group Γ can be alternatively described as $\Gamma = \mathbb{T} \times_\gamma G_e$ with the product given by

$$(t_1, x_1) \cdot (t_2, x_2) = (t_1 t_2 \gamma(x_1, x_2), x_1 \cdot_e x_2) \text{ for all } (t_1, x_1), (t_2, x_2) \in \mathbb{T} \times G_e.$$

Definition 5.2. Let $\sigma\colon \Gamma \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Z})$ be any strongly continuous representation by isometries of a Banach space, and consider the duality pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\colon \mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{Z}^* \to \mathbb{C}$. This gives rise to the "coefficient map", that is, the bounded bilinear continuous map $\mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{Z}^* \to \mathcal{C}_b(\Gamma)$, $(z, u) \mapsto \langle \sigma(\cdot) z, u \rangle$, which further leads to the bounded linear operator defined on the projective tensor product of Banach spaces,

$$\Psi_\sigma\colon \mathcal{Z} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{Z}^* \to \mathcal{C}_b(\Gamma).$$

We define $A_\sigma(\Gamma) := \operatorname{Ran} \Psi_\sigma \subseteq \mathcal{C}_b(\Gamma)$, regarded as a Banach space with the norm for which the canonical isomorphism

$$(\mathcal{Z} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{Z}^*)/\operatorname{Ker} \Psi_\sigma \to A_\sigma(\Gamma), \quad v + \operatorname{Ker} \Psi_\sigma \mapsto \Psi_\sigma(v)$$

is an isometry.

If $p \in (1, \infty)$, $\mathcal{Z} = L^p(\Gamma)$, and $\sigma = \lambda\colon \Gamma \to \mathcal{B}(L^p(\Gamma))$ is the left regular representation, then one denotes $A_p(\Gamma) := A_\lambda(\Gamma)$ and this is called the *Figà-Talamanca-Herz algebra* of the group Γ, also called the *Fourier algebra* in the case $p = 2$. Note that $A_p(\Gamma)$ can alternatively be described as the space of functions F in $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma)$ of the form $F = \sum_{n=1}^\infty f_n * g_n$, where $(f_n) \subseteq L^p(\Gamma)$, $(g_n) \subseteq L^q(\Gamma)$ with $(1/p) + (1/q) = 1$, such that $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \|f_n\|_p \|g_n\|_q < \infty$; see [De11, Def. 2 in Sect. 3.1; pp. 33, 34], though $A_p(\cdot)$ is defined there as the coefficient space of the right regular representation.

One also considers the multiplier algebra

$$B_p(\Gamma) := \{f \in \mathcal{C}(\Gamma) \mid (\forall \varphi \in A_p(\Gamma)) \quad f\varphi \in A_p(\Gamma)\}$$

with the norm $\|f\|_{B_p(\Gamma)}$ defined as the norm of the bounded linear operator $\varphi \mapsto f\varphi$ on $A_p(\Gamma)$. Denoting by $\rho\colon \Gamma \to \mathcal{B}(L^p(\Gamma))$ the right regular representation, we also define

$$\operatorname{Conv}_p(\Gamma) := \{S \in \mathcal{B}(L^p(\Gamma)) \mid (\forall g \in \Gamma)\ S\rho(g) = \rho(g)S\}.$$

Remark 5.3. For later use, we record the following properties that hold for every $p \in (1, \infty)$ and are based on the fact that the locally compact group Γ is amenable:

(1) One has $A_2(\Gamma) \subseteq A_p(\Gamma)$ (see [De11, Th. 9 in Sect. 8.3].
(2) The space $A_p(\Gamma)$ is a closed ideal of $B_p(\Gamma)$ (see [Pi84, Prop. 19.8]).
(3) There exists a natural bilinear map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\colon \operatorname{Conv}_p(\Gamma) \times A_p(\Gamma) \to \mathbb{C}$ which gives rise to an isometric isomorphism of Banach spaces $\operatorname{Conv}_p(\Gamma) \simeq A_p(\Gamma)^*$ (see [Pi84, Prop. 10.3, Prop. 19.7], or alternatively [De11, §4.1, Th. 6; §5.4, Cor. 3])

With the above notation, we now prove the following generalization of [Mau80, Lemma 5.1], which gives us the transference inclusion map $A_\sigma(\Gamma) \subseteq A_p(\Gamma)$.

Proposition 5.4. *Let $\mathcal{V}$ be any finite-dimensional vector space, $p \in (1, \infty)$, and $\sigma\colon \Gamma \to \mathcal{B}(L^p(\mathcal{V}))$ be any representation for which there exist some continuous functions $\alpha\colon \Gamma \times \mathcal{V} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta\colon \Gamma \times \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}$ satisfying the following conditions:*

(i) For every $g \in \Gamma$ the function $\alpha(g, \cdot)$ is a polynomial and the map $\beta(g, \cdot)$ is a measure-preserving polynomial diffeomorphism of $\mathcal{V}$.
(ii) One has $(\sigma(g)\varphi)(v) = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\alpha(g,v)} \varphi(\beta(g, v))$ for all $g \in \Gamma$, $v \in \mathcal{V}$, and $\varphi \in L^p(\mathcal{V})$.
(iii) One has $\langle \sigma(\cdot)\varphi, \psi \rangle \in L^2(\Gamma)$ for all $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathcal{V})$.

Then σ is a strongly continuous representation by isometries, and $A_\sigma(\Gamma) \subseteq A_p(\Gamma)$.

Proof. It is easy to see that assumptions (i) and (ii) ensure that $\sigma\colon \Gamma \to \mathcal{B}(L^p(\mathcal{V}))$ is a strongly continuous representation by isometries. Hypothesis (i) ensures existence of the continuous unitary representation

$$\sigma_2\colon \Gamma \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathcal{V})), \quad \sigma_2(g)|_{L^2(\mathcal{V})\cap L^p(\mathcal{V})} = \sigma(g)|_{L^p(\mathcal{V})}.$$

The representation σ_2 is square integrable by hypothesis (iii), hence it is unitary equivalent to the restriction of the left regular representation of Γ to an invariant subspace, and this implies $A_{\sigma_2}(\Gamma) \subseteq A_2(\Gamma)$. Using also Remark 5.3(1), we obtain $A_{\sigma_2}(\Gamma) \subseteq A_p(\Gamma)$.

Then for all $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathcal{V}) \subseteq L^2(\mathcal{V}) \cap L^p(\mathcal{V})$ we have $\langle \sigma(\cdot)\varphi, \psi\rangle = \langle \sigma_2(\cdot)\varphi, \psi\rangle \in A_{\sigma_2}(\Gamma) \subseteq A_p(\Gamma)$. If $q \in (1,\infty)$ satisfies $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$, then $\Psi_\sigma\colon L^p(\mathcal{V})\widehat{\otimes}L^q(\mathcal{V}) \to B_p(\Gamma)$ is a bounded linear operator by [He71, Th. A, page 72]. On the other hand, $\Psi_\sigma(\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathcal{V})) \subseteq A_{\sigma_2}(\Gamma) \subseteq A_p(\Gamma)$, $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathcal{V})$ is dense both in $L^p(\mathcal{V})$ and in $L^q(\mathcal{V})$, while $A_p(\Gamma)$ is a closed linear subspace of $B_p(\Gamma)$ by Remark 5.3(2). It then follows that $\operatorname{Ran}\Psi_\sigma \subseteq A_p(\Gamma)$, that is, $A_\sigma(\Gamma) \subseteq A_p(\Gamma)$, and this completes the proof. □

Proposition 5.4 applies to suitable realizations of all unitary irreducible representations of Γ (see for instance [ER95, Lemma 2.1]). For the sake of simplicity, we make the following definition.

Definition 5.5. In the framework of Proposition 5.4 and its proof the unitary representation $\sigma_2\colon \Gamma \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathcal{V}))$ is an *admissible realization* of its unitary equivalence class.

For every $p \in (1,\infty)$ we will denote by $\sigma_p\colon \Gamma \to \mathcal{B}(L^p(\mathcal{V}))$ the representation denoted by σ in the statement of Proposition 5.4.

We can now state the following generalization of [Mau80, Th. 5.2]. In this statement we recall the setting we are working in, for the sake of clarity.

Theorem 5.6. *Let G be a nilpotent Lie group with 1-dimensional center and with generic flat coadjoint orbits, and $p \in (1,\infty)$. If $\pi\colon G \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathcal{V}))$ is an arbitrary admissible realization of a point in the unitary dual of G corresponding to a generic coadjoint orbit, then for each of its corresponding Pedersen multipliers $M \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathcal{V}))$ its restriction $M|_{L^2(\mathcal{V})\cap L^p(\mathcal{V})}$ extends to an operator in $\mathcal{B}(L^p(\mathcal{V}))$.*

The proof of this theorem requires some preparations.

Definition 5.7. Let $p \in (1,\infty)$ and $\sigma\colon \Gamma \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ be any strongly continuous representation by isometries of a (reflexive) Banach space $\mathcal{X}$, with $A_\sigma(\Gamma) \subseteq A_p(\Gamma)$. Recalling Remark 5.3(3), for arbitrary $S \in \operatorname{Conv}_p(\Gamma)$ we define its corresponding *transferred operator* $\Psi_\sigma^*(S)\colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$ by

$$(\forall x \in \mathcal{X})(\forall \eta \in \mathcal{X}^*) \quad \langle \Psi_\sigma^*(S)x, \eta\rangle := \langle S, u_{x,\eta}^\sigma\rangle.$$

The left-hand side of the above equality uses the duality pairing $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle\colon \mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{X}^* \to \mathbb{C}$, the right-hand side involves the duality pairing $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle\colon \operatorname{Conv}_p(\Gamma)\times A_p(\Gamma) \to \mathbb{C}$. from Remark 5.3(3). We also denoted $u_{x,\eta}^\sigma := \langle \sigma(\cdot)x, \eta\rangle \in A_\sigma(\Gamma)$ ($\subseteq A_p(\Gamma)$ by assumption), where we use the duality pairing $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle\colon \mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{X}^* \to \mathbb{C}$.

Example 5.8. In Definition 5.7, using the specific form of the duality provided by Remark 5.3(3), one can check that if $\lambda_p\colon \Gamma \to \mathcal{B}(L^p(\Gamma))$ is the left regular representation and there exists $\varphi \in L^1(\Gamma)$ with $S = \lambda_p(\varphi)$, that is, $S\psi = \varphi * \psi$ for all $\psi \in L^p(\Gamma)$, then $\Psi_\sigma^*(S) = \int\limits_\Gamma \varphi(g)\sigma(g)\mathrm{d}g = \sigma(\varphi)$.

Remark 5.9. Let us explain the notation and some other facts on Definition 5.7.

(i) Since $A_\sigma(\Gamma) \subseteq A_p(\Gamma)$ and we have continuous inclusions $A_\sigma(\Gamma) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_b(\Gamma)$ and $A_p(\Gamma) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_b(\Gamma)$, it easily follows by the closed graph theorem that the inclusion map $A_\sigma(\Gamma) \hookrightarrow A_p(\Gamma)$ is also continuous, hence there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that $\|f\|_{A_p(\Gamma)} \leq M\|f\|_{A_\sigma(\Gamma)}$ for all $f \in A_\sigma(\Gamma)$. Moreover, $u^\sigma_{x,\eta} = \Psi_\sigma(x \otimes \eta)$ and $\sigma\colon \Gamma \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ is a representation by isometries, hence

$$(\forall x \in \mathcal{X})(\forall \eta \in \mathcal{X}^*) \quad \|u^\sigma_{x,\eta}\|_{A_p(\Gamma)} \leq M\|u^\sigma_{x,\eta}\|_{A_\sigma(\Gamma)} \leq M\|\eta\| \cdot \|x\|$$

and this further implies $|\langle \Psi^*_\sigma(S)x, \eta\rangle| \leq M\|S\| \cdot \|\eta\| \cdot \|x\|$, hence $\Psi^*_\sigma(S) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ and $\|\sigma(S)\| \leq M\|S\|$.

(ii) The map $\Psi^*_\sigma\colon \mathrm{Conv}_p(\Gamma) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ is an algebra homomorphism and is equal to the dual map of $\Psi_\sigma\colon \mathcal{X}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{X}^* \to A_\sigma(\Gamma) \hookrightarrow A_p(\Gamma)$.

In fact, that duality property follows directly by Definition 5.7, and it implies that Ψ^*_σ is continuous with respect to the weak*-topologies on the dual spaces $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}) \simeq (\mathcal{X}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{X}^*)^*$ and $\mathrm{Conv}_p(\Gamma) \simeq A_p(\Gamma)^*$. Then, using also the easily checked fact that the multiplication maps in the algebras $\mathrm{Conv}_p(\Gamma)$ and $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ are separately weak*-continuous, it follows that it suffices to prove $\Psi^*_\sigma(S_1S_2) = \Psi^*_\sigma(S_1)\Psi^*_\sigma(S_2)$ for all S_1 and S_2 in some weak*-dense subset of $\mathrm{Conv}_p(\Gamma)$. But that property is a direct consequence of Example 5.8 if $S_j = \lambda_p(\varphi_j)$ with $\varphi_j \in L^1(\Gamma_j)$ for $j = 1, 2$, and on the other hand $\{\lambda_p(\varphi) \mid \varphi \in L^1(\Gamma)\}$ is weak*-dense in $\mathrm{Conv}_p(\Gamma)$ by [De11, §4.1, Cor. 7(1.); §5.4, Cor.3], also using that Γ is amenable.

Note that the above facts fit into the scheme summarized by diagram (1.2), in the sense that, for every $S \in \mathrm{Conv}_p(\Gamma)$, we have the commutative digram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_p(\Gamma) = (L^p(\Gamma)\widehat{\otimes}L^p(\Gamma)^*)/\ker\Psi_\lambda & \xrightarrow{\ S\ } & \mathbb{C} \\ \iota\uparrow & & \uparrow Id_{\mathbb{C}} \\ A_\sigma(\Gamma) = (\mathcal{X}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{X}^*)/\ker\Psi_\sigma & \xrightarrow{\Psi^*_\sigma(S)} & \mathbb{C} \end{array}$$

with ι the inclusion mapping.

Lemma 5.10. *For arbitrary $p \in [1, \infty)$ define the maps*

$$\sharp\colon L^p(G_e) \to L^p(\Gamma), \quad \psi \mapsto \psi^\sharp, \quad \psi^\sharp(t, x) := \frac{1}{t}\psi(x)$$

and

$$\flat\colon L^p(\Gamma) \to L^p(G_e), \quad \varphi \mapsto \varphi^\flat, \quad \varphi^\flat(x) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} \varphi(s, x)s\mathrm{d}s.$$

Also let

$$P\colon L^p(\Gamma) \to L^p(\Gamma), \quad (P\varphi)(t, x) = \frac{1}{t}\int_{\mathbb{T}} \varphi(s, x)s\mathrm{d}s.$$

Then the following assertions hold:

(i) All the maps $\sharp\colon (L^1(G_e), *_e) \to (L^1(\Gamma), *)$, $\flat\colon (L^1(\Gamma), *) \to (L^1(G_e), *_e)$, $P, \mathbf{1} - P\colon (L^1(\Gamma), *) \to (L^1(\Gamma), *)$ are norm non-increasing homomorphisms of Banach $*$-algebras, and $\sharp$ is actually an isometry. The image of $\sharp$ is a closed two-sided ideal of $(L^1(\Gamma), *)$ which is also the image of P, and $P^2 = P$. Also the image of $\mathbf{1} - P$ is a closed two-sided ideal of $(L^1(\Gamma), *)$.

(ii) For all $\psi \in L^p(G_e)$ and $\varphi \in L^p(\Gamma)$ one has $(\psi^\sharp)^\flat = \psi$ and $(\varphi^\flat)^\sharp = P\varphi$.

(iii) If $\sigma\colon \Gamma = G/\mathrm{Ker}\,\pi \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is the representation obained by the factorization of $\pi\colon G \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, then for every $\psi \in L^1(G_e)$ one has $T^\pi(\psi) = \sigma(\psi^\sharp)$.

Proof. Assertion (i) follows by [EL69a, Lemmas 3.1–3.2, Th. 2]. Assertion (ii) is straightforward. □

Proof of Theorem 5.6. Using also Definition 3.8, for every $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(G_e)$ we have

$$MT^\pi(\psi) = T^\pi(C_M\psi).$$

Now use Lemma 5.10 to define $T_M\colon L^p(\Gamma) \to L^p(\Gamma)$ via the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L^p(G_e) & \xrightarrow{C_M} & L^p(G_e) \\ {\scriptstyle\flat}\uparrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\sharp} \\ L^p(\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{T_M} & L^p(\Gamma) \end{array}$$

hence for very $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in L^p(\Gamma)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_M(\varphi_1 * \varphi_2) &= (C_M((\varphi_1 * \varphi_2)^\flat))^\sharp = (C_M(\varphi_1^\flat *_e \varphi_2^\flat))^\sharp = (C_M(\varphi_1^\flat) *_e \varphi_2^\flat)^\sharp \\ &= (C_M(\varphi_1^\flat))^\sharp * (\varphi_2^\flat)^\sharp = T_M(\varphi_1) * P(\varphi_2) = T_M(\varphi_1) * \varphi_2. \end{aligned}$$

The last of the above equalities is based on the fact that by Lemma 5.10, the maps $\sharp$ and P have the same image in $L^1(\Gamma)$, while $P(L^1(\Gamma)$ and $(\mathbf{1}-P)(L^1(\Gamma))$ are closed ideals of $(L^1(\Gamma), *)$ whose intersection is $\{0\}$, hence $P(\varphi_1) * (\mathbf{1}-P)(\varphi_2) = 0$ for all $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in L^1(\Gamma)$.

Since $T_M \in \mathcal{B}(L^p(\Gamma))$, it follows by the above equalities that $T_M \in \mathrm{Conv}_p(\Gamma)$, and then by Proposition 5.4 we may define the transferred operator $\sigma(T_M) \in \mathcal{B}(L^p(\mathcal{V}))$.

On the other hand, we will use the coefficient map

$$\Psi_{\sigma_p}\colon L^p(\mathcal{V})\widehat{\otimes}L^p(\mathcal{V})^* \to A_\sigma(\Gamma) \hookrightarrow A_p(\Gamma),$$

whose dual operator

$$\Psi^*_{\sigma_p}\colon \mathrm{Conv}_p(\Gamma) \simeq A_p(\Gamma)^* \to (L^p(\mathcal{V})\widehat{\otimes}L^p(\mathcal{V})^*)^* \simeq \mathcal{B}(L^p(\mathcal{V}))$$

satisfies

$$\Psi^*_{\sigma_p}(\lambda(\varphi)) = \sigma(\varphi) \text{ for all } \varphi \in L^1(\Gamma), \tag{5.2}$$

by Example 5.8. Then one has $T_M \in \mathrm{Conv}_p(\Gamma) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Conv}_2(\Gamma)$ by [De11, §8.3, Th. 6] and moreover

$$\Psi^*_{\sigma_2}(T_M) = M. \tag{5.3}$$

In fact, for every $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(G_e)$ one has $MT^\pi(\psi) = T^\pi(C_M\psi) = \sigma((C_M\psi)^\sharp)$. Using the equality $\varphi^\flat = \psi$ for $\varphi := \psi^\sharp$ (see Lemma 5.10(ii)), one further obtains

$$MT^\pi(\psi) = \sigma((C_M\varphi^\flat)^\sharp) = \sigma(T_M\varphi) = \Psi^*_{\sigma_2}(\lambda(T_M\varphi)) = \Psi^*_{\sigma_2}(T_M\lambda(\varphi))$$

where the last two equalities are based on (5.2) and on $T_M \in \mathrm{Conv}_p(\Gamma)$, respectively. Now, using the fact that $\Psi^*_{\sigma_2}\colon \mathrm{Conv}_2(\Gamma) \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathcal{V}))$ is a morphism of Banach algebras (Remark 5.9), we further obtain

$$\begin{aligned} MT^\pi(\psi) &= \Psi^*_{\sigma_2}(T_M)\Psi^*_\sigma(\lambda(\varphi)) = \Psi^*_{\sigma_2}(T_M)\sigma(\varphi) = \Psi^*_{\sigma_2}(T_M)\sigma(\psi^\sharp) \\ &= \Psi^*_{\sigma_2}(T_M)T^\pi(\psi). \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used successively (5.2), $\varphi = \psi^\sharp$, and Lemma 5.10(iii). Since $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(G_e)$ is arbitrary and the Pedersen transform $T^\pi\colon \mathcal{S}(G_e) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_\infty$ is bijective, the above equalities imply (5.3).

Now recall that $T_M \in \mathrm{Conv}_p(\Gamma)$. Then Proposition 5.4, shows that it is transferred to operator $\Psi^*_{\sigma_p}(T_M) \in \mathcal{B}(L^p(\mathcal{V}))$. On the other hand, (5.3) shows that $\Psi^*_{\sigma_2}(T_M) = M$, hence $M \in \mathcal{B}(L^p(\mathcal{V}))$, and this concludes the proof. □

6. Calderón-Zygmund theory with a twist

This section owes much to [CW71, Ch. III, §3], except that we do not work here with compact groups. In fact, we will use these results for simply connected nilpotent Lie groups. The main result of this subsection is a simultaneous generalization of [Mau80, Th. 3.2] and [CW71, Th. III.(2.4)], which is established in Corollary 6.8 below. As a consequence, we show a wide collection of examples of Pedersen multipliers in Corollary 6.9.

Setting 6.1. Unless otherwise mentioned we will work in the setting provided by a unimodular locally compact group G with a Haar measure denoted by $|\cdot|$ for which there exist a constant $C > 1$ and a neighbourhood basis consisting of relatively compact open sets $\mathbf{1} \in \cdots \subseteq U_2 \subseteq U_1 \subseteq G$ satisfying for every $j \geq 1$

(i) $U_j = U_j^{-1}$;
(ii) $U_{j+1}U_{j+1} \subseteq U_j$;
(iii) $|U_{j+1}| < |U_j| < C|U_{j+1}|$.

We then define $U_0 := G$,

$$\mathbf{m}\colon G \to [0,\infty], \quad \mathbf{m}(x) = \inf\{|U_j| \mid x \in U_j\}$$

and also

$$\mathbf{m}\colon G \times G \to [0,\infty], \quad \mathbf{m}(x,y) := \mathbf{m}(xy^{-1})$$

and

$$(\forall x \in G)(\forall r \in (0,\infty]) \quad B(x,r) := \{y \in G \mid \mathbf{m}(x,y) < r\}.$$

In the above setting we may have $\mathbf{m}(x) = \infty$ at most for $x \in G \setminus U_1$, and this does happen if and only if G is noncompact.

Before continuing, we record a generalization of the examples in [CW71, Ch. III, §3, page 79].

Example 6.2. If G is any connected Lie group, then there exists a fundamental system of neighborhoods $\{U_i\}_{i\geq 0}$ of $\mathbf{1} \in G$ satisfying the conditions (i)–(iii) in Setting 6.1.

In fact, let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of G. Fix any Euclidean norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ and endow G with the corresponding left-invariant Riemannian structure and the corresponding distance function $d\colon G\times G \to [0,\infty)$, which is left invariant by [KN63, Prop. IV.2.5] and complete by [KN63, Th. IV.4.5]. Then select some $r_0 > 0$ for which the *Riemannian* exponential map at $\mathbf{1} \in G$, denoted by $\exp_{\mathbf{1},r}\colon V(0,r) \to U(\mathbf{1},r)$, is a diffeomorphism if $0 < r \leq r_0$, where $V(0,r) := \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \|X\| < r\}$ and $U(\mathbf{1},r) := \{x \in G \mid d(\mathbf{1},x) < r\}$ for every $r > 0$ (see [KN63, Prop. IV.3.4]).

After replacing r_0 by a lesser value, we may assume that there exist some constants $0 < C_1 < C_2$ with

$$C_1 r^m \leq |U(\mathbf{1},r)| \leq C_2 r^m \text{ if } 0 < r \leq r_0 \tag{6.1}$$

where $m := \dim G$ and $|\cdot|$ denotes the left-invariant Haar measure on G defined by the volume associated with the Riemannian metric. To see this, one may either use the fact that the differential of the Riemannian exponential map at $0 \in \mathfrak{g}$ is the identity map on $\mathfrak{g}$, or one can use the second formula in [Gr73, Th. 3.1].

On the other hand, using the fact that the distance function d is left invariant on G, we obtain for all $x, y \in G$,

$$d(\mathbf{1}, xy) \leq d(\mathbf{1}, x) + d(x, xy) = d(\mathbf{1}, x) + d(\mathbf{1}, y) \leq 2\max\{d(\mathbf{1}, x), d(\mathbf{1}, y)\}$$

and this implies

$$(\forall r > 0) \quad U(\mathbf{1}, r) \cdot U(\mathbf{1}, r) \subseteq U(\mathbf{1}, 2r). \tag{6.2}$$

If we now fix any r with $0 < r \leq r_0$ and define $U_j := U(\mathbf{1}, r/2^j)$ for all $j \geq 0$, then the conditions (i)–(iii) in Setting 6.1 are satisfied. In fact, it follows by (6.1) that

$$(\forall j \geq 0) \quad C_1 r^m/2^{jm} \leq |U_j| \leq C_2 r^m/2^{jm}$$

hence $|U_j| \leq C|U_{j+1}|$ for $C := 2^m C_2/C_1$. Using (6.2), we also obtain $U_{j+1}U_{j+1} \subseteq U_j$ for all $j \geq 0$, and we are done.

The following lemma records some facts from [CW71, Ch. III, §3], but we do not assume here that the group G is compact, and therefore we include a detailed proof.

Lemma 6.3. *The function* $\mathbf{m}$ *has the following properties:*

(a) $(\forall x \in G) \quad \mathbf{m}(x) = \mathbf{m}(x^{-1})$*;*
(b) $(\forall x, y \in G) \quad \mathbf{m}(xy) \leq C\max\{\mathbf{m}(x), \mathbf{m}(y)\} \leq C(\mathbf{m}(x) + \mathbf{m}(y))$*;*
(c) *we have* $\{B(\mathbf{1}, r) \mid 0 < r \leq |G|\} = \{U_j \mid j \geq 1\}$ *and*

$$(\forall r > 0) \quad \frac{r}{C} \leq |B(\mathbf{1}, r)| < r; \tag{6.3}$$

(d) *the pseudo-distance* $\mathbf{m}\colon G \times G \to [0, \infty]$ *is invariant to the translations to the right.*

Proof. It is easily seen that Assertions (a), (b), and (d) hold true. For Assertion (c), note that $|U_j| \searrow 0$ as $j \to \infty$, and for all $0 < r \leq |G|$ and $j \geq 0$ the implication

$$|U_{j+1}| < r \leq |U_j| \implies B(\mathbf{1}, r) = U_{j+1} \tag{6.4}$$

holds true. In fact, if $x \in B(\mathbf{1}, r)$, then $\mathbf{m}(x) < r \leq |G|$, hence there exists the least integer $k \geq 0$ with $x \in U_{k+1}$ and $|U_{k+1}| < r$. Since k is the least with that property, we have $|U_{k+1}| < r \leq |U_k|$, hence actually $k = j$ and then $x \in U_{j+1}$. Conversely, if $x \in U_{j+1}$, then $\mathbf{m}(x) \leq |U_{j+1}| < r$, hence $x \in B(\mathbf{1}, r)$. This completes the proof of (6.4), which readily implies that Assertion (c) holds true. □

Lemma 6.4. *Let* H *be any topological group. Assume that* $\mathcal{H}$ *is any complex Hilbert space and* $\pi\colon H \to U(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$ *and* $\gamma\colon H \times H \to \mathbb{T}$ *are any maps satisfying*

$$(\forall x, y \in H) \quad \pi(x)\pi(y) = \gamma(x, y)\pi(xy), \ \pi(x^{-1}) = \pi(x)^{-1}, \ \text{and } \pi(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}.$$

Then the following assertions hold true for all $x, y, z \in H$*:*

(i) $\gamma(x, y)\gamma(xy, z) = \gamma(x, yz)\gamma(y, z)$*;*
(ii) $\gamma(y^{-1}, x^{-1}) = \gamma(x, y)^{-1}$*;*
(iii) $\gamma(xy^{-1}, y) = \gamma(x, y^{-1})^{-1}$*;*
(iv) $\gamma(x, x^{-1}y) = \gamma(x^{-1}, y)^{-1}$*;*
(v) $\gamma(x, z^{-1})\gamma(xz^{-1}, zy^{-1}) = \gamma(x, y^{-1})\gamma(y, z^{-1})$.

Proof. Most of these equalities are well known.

For (i), one applies π to $(xy)z = x(yz)$, twice on each side of that equality.

For (ii), one applies π to $(xy)^{-1} = y^{-1}x^{-1}$.

For (iii), one first plugs in $y := z^{-1}$ in (i), and this implies

$$\gamma(x, z^{-1})\gamma(xz^{-1}, z) = \gamma(x, \mathbf{1})\gamma(z^{-1}, z).$$

On the other hand, applying π to $z^{-1}z = \mathbf{1}$, one has $\pi(z^{-1})\pi(z) = \gamma(z^{-1}, z)\pi(\mathbf{1})$, hence $\gamma(z^{-1}, z) = 1$. Then, applying π to $x\mathbf{1} = x$, one has $\pi(x)\pi(\mathbf{1}) = \gamma(x, \mathbf{1})\pi(x)$, hence $\gamma(x, \mathbf{1}) = 1$. Thus the above displayed equality directly implies (iii) with z replaced by y.

For (iv), one proceeds as above, first plugging in $y := x^{-1}$ in (i), and this implies

$$\gamma(x, x^{-1})\gamma(\mathbf{1}, z) = \gamma(x, x^{-1}z)\gamma(x^{-1}, z).$$

The relations $\gamma(\mathbf{1}, z) = \gamma(x, x^{-1}) = 1$ can be established as above, and we obtain the assertion.

For (v), we plug in $z := v$ and then $y := z^{-1}$ in in (i), and this gives

$$\gamma(x, z^{-1})\gamma(xz^{-1}, v) = \gamma(x, z^{-1}v)\gamma(z^{-1}, v).$$

Here we replace $v := zy^{-1}$, and we thus obtain

$$\gamma(x, z^{-1})\gamma(xz^{-1}, zy^{-1}) = \gamma(x, y^{-1})\gamma(z^{-1}, zy^{-1}).$$

We now still need to prove that $\gamma(z^{-1}, zy^{-1}) = \gamma(y, z^{-1})$. Using (ii), that equality is equivalent to $\gamma(z^{-1}, zy^{-1}) = \gamma(z, y^{-1})^{-1}$. But this can be obtained directly from (iv) for $z := x^{-1}$ and then replacing y by y^{-1}. This completes the proof. □

Let $L^1_{\mathrm{comp}}(G)$ denote the vector subspace of $L^1(G)$ consisting of (equivalence classes of) integrable functions on G whose essential support is compact.

Proposition 6.5. *There exist the constants $C', M > 0$ for which the following assertion holds true: If $0 \leq f \in L^1_{\mathrm{comp}}(G)$ and $\alpha > 0$, then there exist a sequence $\{z_i\}_{i\geq 1}$ in G and a sequence of positive real numbers $\{r_i\}_{i\geq 1}$ satisfying the following conditions:*

1. *$f \leq \alpha$ almost everywhere on $G \setminus \Big(\bigcup_{i\geq 1} B(z_i, r_i)\Big)$;*
2. *for every $i \geq 1$ we have $\frac{1}{B(x_i, r_i)} \int\limits_{B(z_i, r_i)} f(x)\mathrm{d}x \leq C'\alpha$;*
3. *$\sum\limits_{i\geq 1} |B(z_i, r_i)| \leq \frac{C'}{\alpha} \int\limits_G f(x)\mathrm{d}x$;*
4. *for every $x \in G$ the set $\{i \geq 1 \mid x \in B(z_i, r_i)\}$ contains at most M elements.*

Proof. It follows by Lemma 6.3(c)–(d) along with the invariance of the Haar measure that there exists a constant $A > 0$ with the property

$$(\forall x \in G)(\forall r > 0) \quad 0 < |B(x, r)| \leq A|B(x, r/2)|. \tag{6.5}$$

Therefore one can use [CW71, Th. III.(2.3)] to obtain the conclusion. □

Corollary 6.6. *There exists the constant $C'' > 0$ for which the following assertion holds true for any continuous function $\gamma\colon G \times G \to \mathbb{T}$: If $0 \leq f \in L^1_{\mathrm{comp}}(G)$, $\alpha > 0$, and $\{z_i\}_{i\geq 1}$ and $\{r_i\}_{i\geq 1}$ are the sequences provided by Proposition 6.5, then there exist $g, b_1, b_2, \cdots \in L^1(G)$ satisfying the following conditions:*

1. *$f = g + \sum\limits_{i\geq 0} b_i$ almost everywhere on G;*
2. *$|g| \leq C''\alpha$ almost everywhere on G;*
3. *$\|g\|_1 \leq C''\|f\|_1$;*
4. *for every $i \geq 1$ we have $\operatorname{supp} b_i \subseteq B(z_i, r_i)$;*

5. *for every* $i \geq 1$ *we have* $\int\limits_G b_i(z)\gamma(z_i, z^{-1})\mathrm{d}z = 0$*;*
6. $\sum\limits_{i\geq 1} \|b_i\|_1 \leq C''\|f\|_1$.

Proof. Let $B := \bigcup\limits_{i\geq 1} B_i$, where $B_i := B(z_i, r_i)$ for every $i \geq 1$. Also denote $\chi_i := \chi_{B_i}$ (the characteristic function of B_i) and define

$$\eta_i(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{\chi_i(z)}{\sum\limits_{j\geq 1}\chi_j(z)} & \text{if } z \in B, \\ 0 & \text{if } z \in G \setminus B. \end{cases}$$

Note that $\sum\limits_{i\geq 1} \eta_i = \chi_B$.

Then for arbitrary $i \geq 1$ define

$$b_i(z) := f(z)\eta_i(z) - \frac{1}{|B_i|}\Big(\int\limits_G f(u)\eta_i(u)\gamma(z_i, u^{-1})\mathrm{d}u\Big)\chi(z)\gamma(z_i, z^{-1})^{-1}$$

and

$$g(z) = \begin{cases} \sum\limits_{i\geq 0} \frac{1}{|B_i|}\Big(\int\limits_G f(u)\eta_i(u)\gamma(z_i, u^{-1})\mathrm{d}u\Big)\chi_i(z)\gamma(z_i, z^{-1})^{-1} & \text{if } z \in B, \\ f(z) & \text{if } z \in G \setminus B. \end{cases}$$

We now check that the conditions of the statement.

1. We have $g + \sum\limits_{i\geq 1} b_i = f$ on $G \setminus B$, and on the other hand $g + \sum\limits_{i\geq 1} b_i = f \sum\limits_{i\geq 1} \eta_i = f\chi_B = f$ on B.
2. If $z \notin B$, then $|g(z)| = |f(z)| \leq C'\alpha$. On the other hand, if $z \in B$, then also $|g(z)| \leq \sum\limits_{i\geq 1} \frac{1}{|B_i|} \int\limits_{B_i} f(x)\mathrm{d}x \leq C'\alpha$.
3. We have $\int\limits_{G\setminus B} |g(x)|\mathrm{d}x = \int\limits_{G\setminus B} |f(x)|\mathrm{d}x \leq \|f\|_1$ and
$$\int\limits_B |g(x)|\mathrm{d}x \leq \sum_{i\geq 1} \int\limits_{B_i} |f(x)|\mathrm{d}x \leq M\|f\|_1$$
where $M > 0$ is the constant provided by Proposition 6.5.
4. For this condition we have $\operatorname{supp} b_i \subseteq (\operatorname{supp}\eta_i) \cup (\operatorname{supp}\chi_i) \subseteq B(z_i, r_i)$.
5. For every $z \in G$ we have
$$b_i(z)\gamma(z_i, z^{-1}) = f(z)\eta_i(z)\gamma(z_i, z^{-1}) - \frac{1}{|B_i|}\Big(\int\limits_G f(u)\eta_i(u)\gamma(z_i, u^{-1})\mathrm{d}u\Big)\chi_i(z)$$
hence $\int\limits_G b_i(z)\gamma(z_i, z^{-1})\mathrm{d}z = 0$.
6. We have $\|b_i\|_1 \leq \int\limits_G f(z)\eta_i(z)\mathrm{d}z + \int\limits_{B_i} f(z)\mathrm{d}z$ hence
$$\sum_{i\geq 1} \|b_i\|_1 \leq \int\limits_B f(z)\mathrm{d}z + \sum_{i\geq 1} \int\limits_{B_i} f(z)\mathrm{d}z \leq (M+1)\|f\|_1$$

and this completes the proof. □

Theorem 6.7. *Let* $\gamma\colon G \times G \to \mathbb{T}$ *as in Lemma 6.4 and* $k \in L^2(G)$ *satisfying the conditions:*

- *There exists a constant $C_2 > 0$ for which*

$$\sup_{u\in G} \int\limits_{m(z)>C_2 m(u)} |\gamma(z,u^{-1})k(zu^{-1}) - k(z)|\mathrm{d}z < \infty.$$

- *There exists a bounded linear operator $K\colon L^2(G) \to L^2(G)$ defined by*

$$(\forall f \in L^2(G)) \quad (Kf)(x) = \int\limits_G \gamma(x,y^{-1})k(xy^{-1})f(y)\mathrm{d}y$$

Then there exists a constant $A_1 > 0$ with the property that for every $f \in L^1_{\mathrm{comp}}(G)$ we have

$$(\forall \alpha > 0) \quad |\{|(Kf)(\cdot)| > \alpha\}| \leq \frac{A_1}{\alpha}\|f\|_1.$$

Proof. First note that for arbitrary $f \in L^1_{\mathrm{comp}}(G) \subseteq L^2(G)$ we have by Schwartz' inequality $Kf \in L^\infty(G)$ since $k \in L^2(G)$.

Next, write $f = g + \sum\limits_{i\geq 1} b_i$ as in Corollary 6.6. Then for arbitrary $\alpha > 0$ we have

$$|\{|(Kf)(\cdot)| > \alpha\}| \leq |\{|(Kg)(\cdot)| > \alpha/2\}| + |\{\sum_{i\geq 1} |(Kb_i)(\cdot)| > \alpha/2\}|. \tag{6.6}$$

A standard estimate involving Corollary 6.6(2.) shows that

$$|\{|(Kg)(\cdot)| > \alpha/2\}| \leq \frac{C''\|K\|^2}{4\alpha}\|f\|_1. \tag{6.7}$$

On the other hand, by using Proposition 6.5(3.) and (6.5), we obtain a constant $A_2 > 0$ for which

$$|\bigcup_{i\geq 1} B(z_i, C_2 r_i)| \leq \sum_{i\geq 1} |B(z_i, C_2 r_i)| \leq A_2 \sum_{i\geq 1} |B(z_i, r_i)| \leq \frac{A_2 C'}{\alpha}\|f\|_1. \tag{6.8}$$

Therefore, in order to estimate the second term in the right-hand side of (6.6), it suffices to work on the complement of $\bigcup\limits_{i\geq 1} B_i^2$, where we denoted $B_i^2 := B(z_i, C_2 r_i)$ for every $i \geq 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |\{x \in G \setminus \bigcup_{i\geq 1} B_i^2 \mid \sum_{i\geq 1} |(Kb_i)(x)| > \frac{\alpha}{2}\}| & \\ \leq |\{x \in \bigcup_{i\geq 1} (G \setminus B_i^2) \mid \sum_{i\geq 1} |(Kb_i)(x)| > \frac{\alpha}{2}\}| & \\ \leq \frac{2}{\alpha} \sum_{i\geq 1} \int\limits_{G\setminus B_i^2} |(Kb_i)(x)|\mathrm{d}x. & \end{aligned} \tag{6.9}$$

Moreover, for every $i \geq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int\limits_{G\setminus B_i^2} |(Kb_i)(x)|\mathrm{d}x &= \int\limits_{m(xz_i^{-1})>C_2 r_i} |(Kb_i)(x)|\mathrm{d}x \\ &= \int\limits_{m(xz_i^{-1})>C_2 r_i} \Big| \int\limits_G \gamma(x,y^{-1})k(xy^{-1})b_i(y)\mathrm{d}y \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\quad - \int_G \gamma(z_i, y^{-1})\gamma(x, z_i^{-1})k(xz_i^{-1})b_i(y)\mathrm{d}y\Big|\mathrm{d}x \\
&\leq \int_G \int_{m(xz_i^{-1})>C_2 r_i} |k(xy^{-1})\gamma(x, y^{-1})\gamma(x, z_i^{-1})^{-1}\gamma(z_i, y^{-1})^{-1} \\
&\quad - k(xz_i^{-1})||b_i(y)|\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y \\
&\leq \int_G \int_{m(z)>C_2 r_i} |k(zu^{-1})\gamma(zz_i, z_i^{-1}u^{-1})\gamma(zz_i, z_i^{-1})^{-1}\gamma(z_i, z_i^{-1}u^{-1})^{-1} \\
&\quad - k(z)||b_i(uz_i)|\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}u
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used the change of variables $(x, y) = (zz_i, uz_i)$. Now note that by using Lemma 6.4(iv) and then Lemma 6.4(i) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma(zz_i, z_i^{-1}u^{-1})\gamma(zz_i, z_i^{-1})^{-1}\gamma(z_i, z_i^{-1}u^{-1})^{-1} & \\
&= \gamma(zz_i, z_i^{-1})^{-1}\gamma(zz_i, z_i^{-1}u^{-1})\gamma(z_i^{-1}, u^{-1}) \\
&= \gamma(z, u^{-1}).
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence by using also the fact that $\operatorname{supp} b_i \subseteq B(z_i, r_i)$, we obtain from the above estimates

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{G\setminus B_i^2} |(Kb_i)(x)|\mathrm{d}x &\leq \int_G \int_{m(z)>C_2 m(u)} |k(zu^{-1})\gamma(z, u^{-1}) - k(z)||b_i(uz_i)|\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}u \\
&\leq C_3\|b_i\|_1
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_3 > 0$ is the supremum from the first hypothesis in the statement.

Now the conclusion follows by using (6.6)–(6.9)(6.) and Corollary 6.6. □

The following corollary is a generalization of [Mau80, Th. 3.2] which is not covered by [CW71, Th. III.(2.4)].

Corollary 6.8. *In the setting of Theorem 6.7, the operator K is bounded on $L^p(G)$ if $1 < p \leq 2$ and is of weak type $(1, 1)$.*

Proof. This follows by Theorem 6.7 and Marcinkiewicz' interpolation theorem. □

Corollary 6.9. *Let $\pi\colon \mathfrak{g}_e \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathcal{V}))$ an admissible representation, in the setting of Theorem 5.6. Let $K\colon L^2(\mathfrak{g}_e) \to L^2(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ be a bounded operator defined by $Kf = k*f$, $f \in L^2(\mathfrak{g}_e)$, where $k \in L^2(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ satisfies the conditions assumed in Theorem 6.7. Then the Hilbert-Schmidt operator $M = T^\pi(k)$ in $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathcal{V}))$ is a Pedersen multiplier whose restriction $M|_{L^2(\mathcal{V})\cap L^p(\mathcal{V})}$ extends to an operator in $\mathcal{B}(L^p(\mathcal{V}))$ for every $1 < p \leq 2$.*

Proof. Since $k \in L^2(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ then $M = T^\pi(k)$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator, see Remark 3.5. Also, for $\varphi \in L^1(\mathfrak{g}_e) \cap L^p(\mathfrak{g}_e)$,

$$MT^\pi(\varphi) = T^\pi(k)T^\pi(\varphi) = T^\pi(k * \varphi) = T^\pi(K\varphi)$$

whence, rewriting if so preferred $C_M := K$, we have that M is certainly a Pedersen multiplier, for π as in Theorem 5.6. From this, the result follows. □

7. Some specific examples

In this section we illustrate the above general results by some examples of 3-step nilpotent Lie algebras with 1-dimensional center. We recall that the classification of these Lie algebras is still an open problem, but some structure theory for them was developed in [BB15b].

Definition 7.1. Let $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \omega)$ be any *symplectic nilpotent Lie algebra*. This means that $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is a nilpotent Lie algebra and $\omega\colon \mathfrak{g}_0 \times \mathfrak{g}_0 \to \mathbb{R}$ is a skew-symmetric nondegenerate bilinear functional satisfying the 2-cocycle condition

$$(\forall x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}_0) \quad \omega(x, [y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}_0}) + \omega(y, [z, x]_{\mathfrak{g}_0}) + \omega(z, [x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}_0}) = 0.$$

Denote by $\mathfrak{g} := \mathbb{R} \dotplus_\omega \mathfrak{g}_0$ the corresponding 1-dimensional central extension, that is, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R} \times \mathfrak{g}_0$ as a vector space and the Lie bracket of $\mathfrak{g}$ is defined by

$$(\forall t, s \in \mathbb{R})(\forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}_0) \quad [(t, x), (s, y)]_{\mathfrak{g}} := (\omega(x, y), [x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}_0}).$$

We denote by G_0 and G the Lie groups obtained from $\mathfrak{g}_0$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ by using the multiplication given by the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula.

Remark 7.2. We also recall from [BB15b, Ex. 6.8] that if $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is an n-step nilpotent Lie algebra, then $\mathfrak{g}$ is $(n+1)$-step nilpotent Lie algebra. Moreover, the center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is 1-dimensional and there exists an isomorphism of Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_0 \simeq \mathfrak{g}/\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$, hence the isomorphism class of $\mathfrak{g}$ is uniquely determined by the isomorphism class of $\mathfrak{g}_0$. More precisely, for any Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_1$ and $\mathfrak{g}_2$, if $\mathfrak{g}_1/\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_1) \not\simeq \mathfrak{g}_2/\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_2)$, then $\mathfrak{g}_1 \not\simeq \mathfrak{g}_2$.

Lemma 7.3. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be any Lie algebra with a direct sum decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{q} \dotplus \mathfrak{p}$, satisfying $[\mathfrak{g}, [\mathfrak{g}, [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]]] = [\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] = \{0\}$ and $[\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{p}] \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. Then the following assertions hold:*

(i) If $\beta, u \in \mathfrak{q}$ and $\alpha, v \in \mathfrak{p}$, then one has

$$\beta \cdot \alpha = u + v \iff \begin{cases} \beta = u, \\ \alpha = v - \frac{1}{2}[u, v] + \frac{1}{6}[u, [u, v]]. \end{cases}$$

(ii) If $h = h_{\mathfrak{q}} + h_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathfrak{g}$ with $h_{\mathfrak{q}} \in \mathfrak{q}$ and $h_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathfrak{p}$, then for $x \in \mathfrak{q}$, $u \in \mathfrak{q}$, and $v \in \mathfrak{p}$, one has

$$(-h) \cdot x = u + v \iff \begin{cases} u = -h_{\mathfrak{q}} + x, \\ v = -\frac{1}{2}[h, x] + \frac{1}{12}([h, [h, x]] - [x, [x, h]]). \end{cases}$$

(iii) If $h = h_{\mathfrak{q}} + h_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathfrak{g}$ with $h_{\mathfrak{q}} \in \mathfrak{q}$ and $h_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathfrak{p}$, and moreover $\beta, x \in \mathfrak{q}$ and $\alpha \in \mathfrak{p}$, then one has

$$(-h) \cdot x = \beta \cdot \alpha \iff \begin{cases} \beta = -h_{\mathfrak{q}} + x, \\ \alpha = -\frac{1}{2}[h, x] + \frac{1}{6}[h, [h, x]] - \frac{1}{3}[x, [x, h]]. \end{cases}$$

Proof. First note that the hypothesis implies in particular that $\mathfrak{p}$ is an abelian ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$, and then $[\mathfrak{p}, [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{p}]] \subseteq [\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] = \{0\}$. Using that remark, for Assertion (i) we obtain by the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula

$$\beta \cdot \alpha = \beta + \alpha + \frac{1}{2}[\beta, \alpha] + \frac{1}{12}[\beta, [\beta, \alpha]]$$

where $\beta \in \mathfrak{q}$ and $\frac{1}{2}[\beta,\alpha]+\frac{1}{12}[\beta,[\beta,\alpha]] \in \mathfrak{p}$. Then the equality $\beta\cdot\alpha = u+v$ with $u\in\mathfrak{q}$ and $v\in\mathfrak{p}$ is equivalent to $u=\beta$ and $v=\alpha+\frac{1}{2}[\beta,\alpha]+\frac{1}{12}[\beta,[\beta,\alpha]]$, hence

$$v=\alpha+\frac{1}{2}[u,\alpha]+\frac{1}{12}[u,[u,\alpha]]. \tag{7.1}$$

We must solve the above equation for α. To this end we apply $[u,\cdot]$ to both sides of the above equation and, using $[\mathfrak{g},[\mathfrak{g},[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]]]=\{0\}$, we thus obtain

$$[u,v]=[u,\alpha]+\frac{1}{2}[u,[u,\alpha]]. \tag{7.2}$$

If we apply $[u,\cdot]$ to both sides of the above equation, we further obtain

$$[u,[u,v]]=[u,[u,\alpha]]. \tag{7.3}$$

Now, by (7.3) and (7.2), we obtain

$$[u,\alpha]=[u,v]-\frac{1}{2}[u,[u,v]]. \tag{7.4}$$

Now, by (7.4), (7.3) and (7.1), we obtain

$$\alpha=v-\frac{1}{2}\Big([u,v]-\frac{1}{2}[u,[u,v]]\Big)-\frac{1}{12}[u,[u,v]]=v-\frac{1}{2}[u,v]+\frac{1}{6}[u,[u,v]]$$

and this completes the proof of implication "$\Rightarrow$" in Assertion (i). The converse implication is based on a straightforward computation, and we omit it.

For Assertion (ii) we use again the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula to compute

$$(-h)\cdot x=-h+x-\frac{1}{2}[h,x]+\frac{1}{12}\Big([h,[h,x]]-[x,[x,h]]\Big).$$

Since $[g,g]\subseteq\mathfrak{p}$, it follows that the equation $(-h)\cdot x=u+v$ with $u\in\mathfrak{q}$ and $v\in\mathfrak{p}$ is equivalent to the formulas of u and v in the statement.

For Assertion (iii), we plug in the formulas of u and v from Assertion (ii) into the formulas for β and α from Assertion (i). In this way we directly obtain $\beta=-h_{\mathfrak{q}}+x$. In order to obtain the formula of α, we first compute

$$\begin{aligned}[u,v]&=\Big[-h_{\mathfrak{q}}+x,-\frac{1}{2}[h,x]+\frac{1}{12}([h,[h,x]]-[x,[x,h]])\Big]\\&=\frac{1}{2}[-h_{\mathfrak{q}}+x,-[h,x]]\\&=\frac{1}{2}([h,[h,x]]+[x,[x,h]])\end{aligned}$$

where for the second equality we used $[\mathfrak{g},[\mathfrak{g},[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]]]=\{0\}$, while for the third equality we used $[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]\subseteq\mathfrak{p}$ and $[\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{p}]=\{0\}$. Using again $[\mathfrak{g},[\mathfrak{g},[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]]]=\{0\}$, the above formula for $[u,v]$ also implies $[u,[u,v]]=0$. Therefore, by Assertions (i), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha&=v-\frac{1}{2}[u,v]+\frac{1}{6}[u,[u,v]]\\&=v-\frac{1}{2}[u,v]\\&=\Big(-\frac{1}{2}[h,x]+\frac{1}{12}([h,[h,x]]-[x,[x,h]])\Big)-\frac{1}{4}([h,[h,x]]+[x,[x,h]])\\&=-\frac{1}{2}[h,x]+\frac{1}{6}[h,[h,x]]-\frac{1}{3}[x,[x,h]]\end{aligned}$$

and this completes the proof of implication "⇒" in Assertion (iii). The converse implication can be proved along the same lines, and we are done. □

Proposition 7.4. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be any Lie algebra with a direct sum decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{q} \dot{+} \mathfrak{p}$, satisfying $[\mathfrak{g},[\mathfrak{g},[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]]] = [\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{p}] = \{0\}$ and $[\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{p}] \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. Select any $X_0 \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) \backslash \{0\}$, and also assume that one has a linear subspace $\mathfrak{p}_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ with $\dim \mathfrak{p}_0 = \dim \mathfrak{p} - 1$ and $[\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{q}] \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_0$, and for which $[\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{p}_0] = \mathbb{R}X_0$ and the bilinear functional $\omega_0 \colon \mathfrak{q} \times \mathfrak{p}_0 \to \mathbb{R}$ is nondegenerate, where $[u,v] = \omega_0(u,v)X_0$ for all $u \in \mathfrak{q}$ and $v \in \mathfrak{p}_0$. Then the following assertions hold true:*

- *(i) One has $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{R}X_0$, $\mathbb{R}X_0 \dot{+} \mathfrak{p}_0 = \mathfrak{p}$, and $\dim \mathfrak{q} = \dim \mathfrak{p} - 1$.*
- *(ii) The linear subspace $\mathfrak{g}_0 := \mathfrak{q}_0 \dot{+} \mathfrak{p}$ has the structure of a Lie algebra isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}/\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$, and ω_0 extends to a symplectic form $\omega \colon \mathfrak{g}_0 \times \mathfrak{g}_0 \to \mathbb{R}$ for which $\mathfrak{q}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_0$ are maximal isotropic subspaces.*
- *(iii) If we define $\xi_0 \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ with $\operatorname{Ker} \xi_0 = \mathfrak{p}_0 + \mathfrak{q}$ and $\langle \xi_0, X_0 \rangle = 1$, then the coadjoint orbit of ξ_0 is flat and the abelian ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ is a polarization of $\mathfrak{g}$ at ξ_0.*
- *(iv) If we define $\chi_0 \colon P \to \mathbb{T}$, $\chi_0(\cdot) := \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\langle \xi_0, \cdot \rangle}$, then the unitary irreducible representation $\operatorname{Ind}_P^G(\chi_0)$ of G is unitary equivalent to the unitary representation*

$$\pi \colon G \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathfrak{q})), \quad (\pi(h)\varphi)(x) = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\langle \xi_0, \frac{1}{2}[h,x] - \frac{1}{6}[h,[h,x]] + \frac{1}{3}[x,[x,h]] \rangle} \varphi(-h_{\mathfrak{q}} + x)$$

where we denote by $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{q} \dot{+} \mathfrak{p} \to \mathfrak{q}$, $h \mapsto h_{\mathfrak{q}}$, the Cartesian projection.

Proof. Since the bilinear functional ω_0 is nondegenerate, it follows that $\dim \mathfrak{q} = \dim \mathfrak{p}_0$ and $(\mathfrak{q} + \mathfrak{p}_0) \cap \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) = \{0\}$. On the other hand, $\dim(\mathfrak{g}/(\mathfrak{q} \dot{+} \mathfrak{p}_0)) = 1$, hence $\dim \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) = 1$ and moreover $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) \dot{+} (\mathfrak{p}_0 \dot{+} \mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{g}$. Then Assertion (i) follows directly, because $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p} \dot{+} \mathfrak{q}$.

Assertion (ii) is straightforward.

For Assertion (iii), it is straightforward to check that $\mathfrak{g}(\xi_0) \cap (\mathfrak{q} + \mathfrak{p}_0) = \{0\}$, using the fact that the bilinear functional ω_0 is nondegenerate. Therefore $\dim \mathfrak{g}(\xi_0) \leq 1$. Since $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(\xi_0)$, it then follows that actually $\mathfrak{g}(\xi_0) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$.

For Assertion (iv), the representation $\pi_{\xi_0} := \operatorname{Ind}_P^G(\chi_0) \colon G \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\xi_0})$ is the left regular representation on the completion of the space

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}, \chi_0) := \{\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathfrak{g}) \mid (\forall y \in \mathfrak{g})(\forall a \in \mathfrak{p})\ \varphi(y \cdot a) = \chi_0(a)^{-1}\varphi(y)\}$$

with respect to the norm defined by

$$\|\varphi\| := \Big(\int\limits_{G/P} |\varphi(y)|^2 \mathrm{d}(yP) \Big)^{1/2}.$$

Moreover, Lemma 7.3(i) implies that $\mathfrak{q}$ is a cross-section of the quotient map $G \to G/P$, and the map

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}, \chi_0) \to \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathfrak{q}), \quad \varphi \mapsto \varphi|_{\mathfrak{q}}$$

is bijective, with its inverse $\psi \mapsto \widetilde{\psi}$ defined by $\widetilde{\psi}(u+v) = \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\langle \xi_0, \alpha(u,v) \rangle} \psi(u)$ for all $u \in \mathfrak{q}$ and $v \in \mathfrak{p}$, where $\alpha(u,v) := v - \frac{1}{2}[u,v] + \frac{1}{6}[u,[u,v]]$. The map $\varphi \mapsto \varphi|_{\mathfrak{q}}$ extends to a unitary operator $\mathcal{H}_{\xi_0} \to L^2(\mathfrak{q})$, which intertwines the representations π_{ξ_0} and π (from the statement), as an application of Lemma 7.3(iii). This completes the proof. □

Two infinite classes of 3-step nilpotent Lie algebras. In this subsection we provide two classes of mutually non-isomorphic of Lie algebras satisfying the conditions of Proposition 7.4. To this end, using Definition 7.1 and Remark 7.2, it suffices to give examples of symplectic, 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras.

In Example 7.5 below, we describe an uncountable family of symplectic, 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras of dimension 6. It is worth pointing out that $n = 6$ is the least possible dimension for which it is possible to find an infinite family of symplectic nilpotent Lie algebras of dimension n that are mutually nonisomorphic as symplectic Lie algebras. For, such Lie algebras lead via the construction in Definition 7.1 to mutually nonisomorphic Lie algebras of dimension $n+1$, and it is well known that there only exist finitely many isomorphism classes of nilpotent Lie algebras of dimension $n+1$ if $n \leq 5$.

Example 7.5 ([La05, Ex. 3.5], [La06, Ex. 5.2])**.** For all $s, t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ let $\mathfrak{g}_0(s,t)$ be the 6-dimensional 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra defined by the commutation relations

$$[X_6, X_5] = sX_3,\ [X_6, X_4] = (s+t)X_2,\ [X_5, X_4] = tX_1.$$

It follows by [La05, Ex. 3.5] that

$$\{\mathfrak{g}_0(s,t) \mid s^2 + st + t^2 = 1,\ 0 < t \leq 1/\sqrt{3}\}$$

is a family of isomorphic Lie algebras that are however pairwise non-isomorphic as symplectic Lie algebras with the common symplectic structure ω given by the matrix

$$J_\omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

that is, the skew-symmetric bilinear functional $\omega\colon \mathfrak{g}_0(s,t) \times \mathfrak{g}_0(s,t) \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $\omega(X_1, X_6) = \omega(X_2, X_5) = \omega(X_3, X_4) = 1$ and $\omega(X_j, X_k) = 0$ for the all the other pairs (j,k) with $1 \leq j < k \leq 6$.

In Example 7.6 below, we describe an infinite family of symplectic 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras whose dimensions can be arbitrarily high, in contrast to the Lie algebras from Example 7.5 above.

Example 7.6. Let (V, E) be any simple finite graph, that is, V is a finite set whose points are called vertices, and E is a set of 2-element subsets of V called edges of the graph. Let V_0 be any real vector space with a fixed basis labeled by the vertices of our graph, and define V_1 as the linear subspace of $\wedge^2 V_0$ generated by the edges, that is,

$$V_1 := \operatorname{span}\{v \wedge w \mid \{v, w\} \in E\} \subseteq \wedge^2 V_0.$$

The Lie algebra associated with the above graph is then defined as $\mathfrak{g}_0(V,E) := V_0 \dotplus V_1$ with its Lie bracket defined by $[V_0, V_1] = [V_1, V_1] = \{0\}$ and $[v, w] := v \wedge w \in V_1$ for all $v, w \in V_0$. Thus $\mathfrak{g}_0(V,E)$ is a 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra, and $\dim(\mathfrak{g}_0(V,E)) = |V| + |E|$, where we denote by $|V|$ and $|E|$ the number of elements in the sets V and E, respectively. It was established in [Man15, Th. 1] that the isomorphism class of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0(V,E)$ uniquely determines the isomorphism class of the graph (V,E), that is, non-isomorphic graphs give rise to non-isomorphic Lie algebras.

On the other hand, it follows by [PT09, Th. 3] that $\mathfrak{g}_0(V,E)$ admits a symplectic form as in Definition 7.1 above if and only if $\dim(\mathfrak{g}_0(V,E))$ is even and for every connected component of the graph (V,E) the number of its edges does not exceed the number of its vertices. We note however that if the graph (V,E) satisfies that condition, then $\mathfrak{g}_0(V,E)$ may have many different symplectic structures. For instance, if (V,E) is the triangle graph, that is, it has three vertices and three edges, then it is easily seen that $\mathfrak{g}_0(V,E)$ is isomorphic to any of the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_0(s,t)$ from Example 7.6, and we have seen in that example that the corresponding Lie algebras are mutually nonisomorphic as symplectic Lie algebras.

References

[BT15] S. Bagchi, S. Thangavelu, On Hermite pseudo-multipliers. *J. Funct. Anal.* **268** (2015), no. 1, 140–170.

[BB10] I. Beltiţă, D. Beltiţă, Smooth vectors and Weyl-Pedersen calculus for representations of nilpotent Lie groups. *An. Univ. Bucureşti Mat.* **58** (2010), no. 1, 17–46.

[BB11] I. Beltiţă, D. Beltiţă, Modulation spaces of symbols for representations of nilpotent Lie groups. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **17** (2011), no. 2, 290–319.

[BB12] I. Beltiţă, D. Beltiţă, Algebras of symbols associated with the Weyl calculus for Lie group representations. *Monatsh. Math.* **167** (2012), no. 1, 13–33.

[BB15a] I. Beltiţă, D. Beltiţă, Boundedness for Weyl-Pedersen calculus on flat coadjoint orbits. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2015*, no. 3, 787–816.

[BB15b] I. Beltiţă, D. Beltiţă, On Kirillov's lemma for nilpotent Lie algebras. *J. Algebra* **427** (2015), 85–103.

[CW71] R.R. Coifman, G. Weiss, *Analyse harmonique non-commutative sur certains espaces homogènes*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 242. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1971.

[CG90] L.J. Corwin, F.P. Greenleaf, *Representations of Nilpotent Lie Groups and Their Applications. Part I. Basic Theory and Examples.* Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **18**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.

[De11] A. Derighetti, *Convolution Operators on Groups*. Lecture Notes of the Unione Matematica Italiana, 11. Springer, Heidelberg; UMI, Bologna, 2011.

[EL69a] C.M. Edwards, J.T. Lewis, Twisted group algebras. I. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **13** (1969), 119–130.

[EL69b] C.M. Edwards, J.T. Lewis, Twisted group algebras. II. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **13** (1969), 131–141.

[ER95] A.F.M. ter Elst, D.W. Robinson, Reduced heat kernels on nilpotent Lie groups. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **173** (1995), no. 3, 475–511.

[Ga01] J.E. Galé, Sobre espacios de Besov definidos por medias de Riesz. In: L. Español, J.L. Varona (eds.), *Margarita mathematica en memoria de José Javier (Chicho) Guadalupe Hernández*, Serv. Publ., Univ. de La Rioja, Logroño, 2001, pp. 235–246.

[Gr73] A. Gray, The volume of a small geodesic ball of a Riemannian manifold. *Michigan Math. J.* **20** (1973), 329–344.

[He71] C. Herz, The theory of p-spaces with an application to convolution operators. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **154** (1971), 69–82.

[HR79] E. Hewitt, K.A. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis*. Vol. I. Second ed., Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 115. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1979.

[HiPh74] E. Hille, R.S. Phillips, *Functional analysis and semi-groups*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R. I., 1974.

[Hör60] L. Hörmander, Estimates for translation invariant operators in L^p spaces. *Acta Math.* **104** (1960), 93–140.

[How77] R.E. Howe, On a connection between nilpotent groups and oscillatory integrals associated to singularities. *Pacific J. Math.* **73** (1977), no. 2, 329–363.

[KN63] S. Kobayashi, K. Nomizu, *Foundations of differential geometry*. Vol I. Interscience Publishers, a division of John Wiley & Sons, New York-London, 1963.

[La05] J. Lauret, Minimal metrics on nilmanifolds. In: J. Bureš, O. Kowalski, D. Krupka, J. Slovák (eds.), *Differential Geometry and its Applications*, Matfyzpress, Prague, 2005, pp. 79–97.

[La06] J. Lauret, A canonical compatible metric for geometric structures on nilmanifolds. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* **30** (2006), no. 2, 107–138.

[Lu90] J. Ludwig, Topologically irreducible representations of the Schwartz-algebra of a nilpotent Lie group. *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **54** (1990), no. 3, 284–292.

[Mai86] J.-M. Maillard, On the twisted convolution product and the Weyl transformation of tempered distributions. *J. Geom. Phys.* **3** (1986), no. 2, 230–261.

[Mai07] J.-M. Maillard, Explicit star products on orbits of nilpotent Lie groups with square integrable representations. *J. Math. Phys.* **48** (2007), no. 7, 073504.

[Man15] M.G. Mainkar, Graphs and two-step nilpotent Lie algebras. *Groups Geom. Dyn.* **9** (2015), no. 1, 55–65.

[Mau80] G. Mauceri, The Weyl transform and bounded operators on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. *J. Funct. Anal.* **39** (1980), no. 3, 408–429.

[Mü84] D. Müller, Twisted convolutions with Calderón-Zygmund kernels. *J. reine angew. Math.* **352** (1984), 133–150.

[Pe94] N.V. Pedersen, Matrix coefficients and a Weyl correspondence for nilpotent Lie groups. *Invent. Math.* **118** (1994), no. 1, 1–36.

[Pi84] J.-P. Pier, *Amenable Locally Compact Groups*. Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York). A Wiley-Interscience Publication. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1984

[Po72] N.S. Poulsen, On C^∞-vectors and intertwining bilinear forms for representations of Lie groups. *J. Functional Analysis* **9** (1972), 87–120.

[PT09] H. Pouseele, P. Tirao, Compact symplectic nilmanifolds associated with graphs. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **213** (2009), no. 9, 1788–1794.

[Sch66] H.H. Schaefer, *Topological Vector Spaces*. The Macmillan Co., New York; Collier-Macmillan Ltd., London, 1966.

Institute of Mathematics "Simion Stoilow" of the Romanian Academy, P.O. Box 1-764, Bucharest, Romania

E-mail address: Ingrid.Beltita@imar.ro

Institute of Mathematics "Simion Stoilow" of the Romanian Academy, P.O. Box 1-764, Bucharest, Romania

E-mail address: Daniel.Beltita@imar.ro

Departamento de matemáticas and I.U.M.A., Universidad de Zaragoza, 50009 Zaragoza, Spain

E-mail address: gale@unizar.es